

# 2010 Minerals Yearbook

### COPPER

### COPPER

#### By Daniel L. Edelstein

Domestic survey data and tables were prepared by Samir Hakim, statistical assistant, and the world production tables were prepared by Glenn J. Wallace, international data coordinator.

In the United States, mine production of recoverable copper declined for the second consecutive year, decreasing by about 70,000 metric tons (t) to 1.10 million metric tons (Mt), and was down by almost 200,000 t from production in 2008. Although production declined slightly in Arizona and New Mexico as a result of curtailments following the collapse of copper prices during the fourth quarter of 2008, most of the decline was attributed to Utah, where lower ore grades at the leading U.S. copper producer led to a sharp decrease in production.

Despite numerous announced expansions in global mine capacity that totaled about 400,000 metric tons per year (t/yr), lower capacity utilization, particularly in the United States, resulted in a global copper mine production increase of only about 100,000 t compared with that in 2009. In addition to lower copper prices, numerous factors, including labor and political unrest, lower ore grades, technical problems, and utility shortages, continued to plague the industry and sustain a downward trend in capacity utilization. According to data compiled by the International Copper Study Group (ICSG), global mine capacity utilization has fallen to about 80% in 2010 from about 87% in 2006 (International Copper Study Group, 2011a, p. 9).

The United States fell from being the third ranked to the fourth ranked mine producer of copper behind Chile, Peru, and China and accounted for about 7% of global production. Chile remained the leading world mined copper producer, and accounted for 34% of global production. Global smelter production, which had declined nominally in 2009, rose by about 4% in 2010, as did downstream refinery production, owing to increased availability of scrap and copper concentrates. The United States ranked sixth in world smelter production and remained fourth in refinery output behind China, Chile, and Japan.

According to data compiled by the ICSG (2011a, p. 19–20), global consumption of refined copper, which had risen nominally (70,000 t) in 2009, rose by 7% (1.3 Mt) in 2010. In 2009, consumption declines in Europe, North America, and most major consuming regions of Asia were more than offset by an astronomical growth of almost 2 Mt (37%) in China's apparent consumption. China's apparent consumption is based on reported production, trade, and Shanghai Futures Exchange (SHFE) stock data, and does not include unreported Government or industry stocks, which may fluctuate significantly on an annual basis and were thought to have accumulated during 2009. In 2010, consumption in Europe and North America partially recovered from the 2009 downturn, increasing by 9% and 10%, respectively, although remaining below prerecession levels. In Asia, consumption rose by about 6% to a record-high level despite a more modest increase of more than 4% in China's apparent consumption.

In a reversal of the previous 2 years market balance, global production of refined copper in 2010 was insufficient to meet

demand, and reported yearend 2010 global inventories of refined copper declined by about 145,000 t from those at yearend 2009 but remained at about 130,000 t above yearend 2008 inventories. The decline in global inventories took place despite a decline in China's imports of refined copper, which declined to 2.92 Mt in 2010 from 3.19 Mt in 2009 (International Copper Study Group, 2011a, p. 21, 29).

Copper prices during the first 8 months of 2010 fluctuated significantly. The daily COMEX price began 2010 at \$3.39 per pound, ranged between \$2.76 per pound (June 7) and \$3.61 per pound (April 14), and averaged \$3.23 per pound during the 8-month period, close to the December 2009 average of \$3.19 per pound. Prices began a sustained rise in September with the yearend COMEX price rising to \$4.44 per pound, the highest level of the year, and averaging \$4.23 per pound in December and \$3.43 for the full year, up from the 2009 average of \$2.35 per pound.

The principal mining States for copper, in descending order of production, were Arizona, Utah, Nevada, New Mexico, and Montana, accounting for more than 99% of domestic production; copper was also recovered at mines in Idaho and Missouri. Although copper was recovered at 29 mines in the United States during 2010, 18 mines accounted for more than 99% of production (table 2). The remaining mines were either small leach operations or byproduct producers of copper.

In 2010, copper recovered from refined or remelted scrap (about 83% from new scrap and 17% from old scrap) composed 32% of the total U.S. copper supply. The conversion of old scrap to alloys and refined copper continued a downward trend and declined by 5% to 131,000 t of recoverable copper. Copper was consumed as refined copper and as direct melt scrap at about 30 brass mills, 15 wire-rod mills, and 500 chemical plants, foundries, and miscellaneous operations.

#### Legislation and Government Programs

On November 22, the International Trade Administration (ITA), U.S. Department of Commerce, published its final determination that an industry within the United States was being threatened with material injury from the import and sales of copper pipe and tube from China and Mexico at less than their fair market value. The determination required importers to deposit with the U.S. Customs and Border Protection Service a cash amount equal to the listed estimated weighted-average margins that ranged between 11.25% ad valorem and 60.85% ad valorem for nine Chinese exporters, and 24.89% and 27.16% for various Mexican exporters. The orders applied to all seamless circular refined copper pipes and tubes greater than or equal to 6 inches [152.4 millimeters (mm)] in length and measuring less than 12.130 inches (308.102 mm) in outside diameter regardless of wall thickness, bore finish, manufacturing

process, outer surface or end finish, coating or insulation, end fitting, or physical configuration (straight, coiled, bent, etc.) (U.S. Department of Commerce, 2010b).

In December, three of the sanctioned Mexican tube exporters and their United States affiliates, along with the Government of Mexico, filed a request for a panel review with the United States section of the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) Secretariat. Chapter 19 of NAFTA provides a mechanism to request an independent binational panel to review final antidumping and countervailing duty in cases involving imports from a NAFTA country. When such a request is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to expedite review of the final determination to see if it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination (U.S. Department of Commerce, 2010a).

#### Production

Domestic mine production of copper decreased by about 6%, or about 70,000 t, to its lowest level since 1985, owing to closures and cutbacks announced during the fourth quarter of 2008 in response to the onset of a global recession and the plummet in copper prices. Estimated mine capacity, however, rose by about 30,000 t/yr to 1.73 million metric tons per year (Mt/yr), and as a result, capacity utilization declined to 64% from about 69% in 2009 and was at its lowest level in more than 25 years. Smelter production, however, remained unchanged as much of the decrease came from lower electrowon production, and exports of copper in concentrate declined. Downstream, electrolytic refined production declined by about 9,000 t, as permanent closure of the White Pine, MI, refinery in August, which had processed anodes imported from Canada, was partially offset by lower exports of domestically produced anode and increased production at the remaining three electrolytic refiners. Electrowon copper from leach solutions accounted for 39% and 41%, respectively, of mine and refinery production. Fifteen solvent extraction-electrowinning (SX-EW) facilities operated during 2010.

*Operating Property Reviews.*—Consolidated full-year production for ASARCO LLC's (Phoenix, AZ) mines in Arizona in 2010 totaled 209,000 t, an increase of about 13% from that in 2009, primarily owing to higher ore grades at the Mission and Ray Mines and improved recoveries at the Ray Mine. The Hayden smelter in Arizona produced 159,000 t of anode. Asarco produced 217,000 t of electrolytic copper, 158,000 t at its electrolytic refinery in Amarillo, TX, and a combined 59,000 t from its electrowinning operations at the Ray and Silver Bell Mines (Grupo México, S.A.B. de C.V., 2011, p. 29, 128).

Copper production from BHP Billiton's (Melbourne, Australia, and London, United Kingdom) residual Arizona leach operations at Miami and Pinto Valley remained unchanged at 6,000 t. Production of copper concentrate at Pinto Valley remained suspended (BHP Billiton, 2011, p. 2).

In late 2008 and early 2009, in response to the decline in copper and molybdenum prices, Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold Inc. (FCX) announced revised production plans that included cessation of mining at its Chino Mine in New Mexico; suspension of restart of mining at its residual leach operation in Miami, AZ; and about a 50% reduction in the operating rates at its Morenci and Safford Mines in Arizona and its Tyrone Mine in New Mexico. As a result, mine production at FCX's U.S. operations declined for the second consecutive year, falling by about 6% to 514,000 t from 554,000 t in 2009 and 691,000 t in 2008. Total unit costs, discounted for byproduct credit and including depreciation and other noncash costs, rose to \$1.60 per pound of copper in 2010 from \$1.44 per pound in 2009, despite an increase in byproduct credits to \$0.35 per pound of copper from \$0.23 in 2009 (Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold Inc., 2011a. p. 6–9, 23, 84).

In the first quarter of 2010, however, FCX restarted the Morenci mill to process available sulfide material and initiated a staged ramp up of the mining rate to 635,000 t/d from 450,000 t/d, with the goal of increasing its annual production rate by 57,000 t by the beginning of 2011. Mill throughput during the fourth quarter averaged 42,200 t/d of ore, up from 30,000 t/d at restart, and was expected to reach 50,000 t/d during 2011. Combined production (concentrate and electrowon) rose nominally in 2010 to 228,000 t. Although 2010 production at the Chino Mine of 15,400 t and the Miami Mine of 8,200 t came from residual leach during 2010, by the first quarter of 2010, FCX had initiated restart of mining at both operations. At Chino, FCX was investing \$150 million in the mine and mill with targeted incremental production of 45,000 t in 2012 and 90,000 t in 2013, and at Miami, FCX expected to expend \$40 million to increase production to 45,000 t/yr of copper cathode by late 2011 (Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold Inc., 2010, p. 4-5; 2011b, p. 3–4).

At FCX's Bagdad Mine in Arizona, copper production (concentrate and electrowon) declined to 92,000 t in 2010 from 102,000 t in 2009; at its Sierrita Mine in Arizona, production (concentrate and limited electrowon) decreased to 67,000 t from 77,000 t in 2009; and at its Tyrone Mine, production declined to 37,000 t from 39,000 t in 2009. At the Safford Mine, electrowon production decreased to 65,000 t from 83,000 t in 2009, and at yearend, construction of a \$150 million sulfur burner to reduce the cost of sulfuric acid was underway and was expected to be completed in the second quarter of 2011 (Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold Inc., 2011a, p. 23, 82–83).

In 2010, despite a production decline to 250,000 t from 303,500 t in 2009, the Bingham Canyon Mine in Utah retained the position it regained in 2009 as the leading U.S. copper mine producer. Although the Copperton concentrator recorded record ore throughput, average copper head grades declined to 0.53% from 0.64% in 2009. Average molybdenum grades, however, increased to 0.045% from 0.038% in 2009, and molybdenum production increased to 12,900 t from 11,300 t. Production of refined copper decreased by about 2% to 269,000 t from 274,000 t in 2009. During 2010, mine operator Kennecott Utah Copper Corp. (Magna, UT) began the process of updating environmental permits to extend the mine life to 2028 through a possible combination of open pit and underground mining, and began constructing a \$340 million molybdenum autoclave process (Rio Tinto plc, 2011, p. 3, 12, 22).

The Lisbon Valley Mine in Utah, formerly operated by bankrupt Constellation Copper Corp. (Denver, CO), was recapitalized, exited bankruptcy operating as Lisbon Valley Mining Co. LLC, and resumed mining and placement of new ore on leach pads. Production was expected to rise to about 8,200 t/yr of electrowon copper. Mining had ceased during the first quarter of 2008, and production had been from residual leach (Lisbon Valley Mining Co. LLC, 2010).

In 2010, following the completion of a phase I, 23,000-t/d mill during 2009, the Mineral Park Mine (Arizona) produced 14,600 t of copper (1,430 t from electrowinning) and 1,970 t of molybdenum. In February, Mercator Minerals Ltd. (Kingman, AZ, and Vancouver) began startup of its of phase 1.5 expansion to increase mill capacity to 27,000 t/d. Mill throughput during 2010 averaged 23,900 t/d. Mercator was proceeding with its phase 2 expansion that was expected to boost mill capacity to 45,000 t/yr during 2012 and in 2010, purchased a gas turbine to generate the additional power the expanded mill would require. Yearend mill reserves totaled 418 Mt, grading an average of 0.377% copper and containing 529,000 t of copper, and leach reserves totaled 67 Mt grading 0.07% copper and containing 47,000 t of copper (Mercator Minerals Ltd., p. 8–9, 26).

In July, Nord Resources Corp. (Dragoon, AZ) suspended mining and crushing of new ore at its Johnson Camp Mine in Arizona in order to maximize cash flow, conserve capital, and undertake additional geological and engineering studies prior to installation of a new leach pad. Leaching of residual copper from stockpiles continued. In January 2008, it had produced its first cathode since August 2003 from the leaching of stockpiles and in February 2009, it had resumed mining. Sales of copper cathode rose to 4,120 t in 2010 from 3,670 t in 2009, but following suspension of mining, sales in the fourth quarter fell to 697 t from 1,280 t in the fourth quarter of 2009 (Nord Resources Corp., 2011, p. 2–3).

On May 15, Quadra Mining Ltd. (Vancouver) merged with FNX Mining Co. Inc. (Toronto, Ontario, Canada) to become Quadra FNX Mining Co. with headquarters retained in Vancouver. FNX primarily held properties in the Sudbury mining district in Canada, including the McCreedy West and Levack Mines. Production at Quadra's Robinson Mine in Nevada decreased to 49,400 t from 55,600 t in 2009 owing to lower mill throughput from harder ore mined at the bottom of the Veteran pit. During the fourth quarter of the year, a shift in production from the Veteran and Ruth pits to just the Ruth pit was completed. At its Carlota Mine in Nevada, which produced its first cathode in December 2008, production of electrowon copper rose to 14,500 t from 12,700 t in 2009 but was lower than anticipated owing to heavy rains in both January and December (Quadra FNX Mining Ltd., 2011a, p. 1–8; b).

Developing Property Reviews.—Augusta Resource Corp. (Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada) continued development and permitting of the Rosemont Mine, about 50 kilometers southeast of Tucson, AZ. During 2010, it entered into several financial arrangements for mine development including a \$176 million "earn-in agreement" with a South Korean consortium comprising the state-owned Korea Resources Corp. (Seoul, Korea) and LG International Corp. (Seoul) to acquire a 20% share in Rosemont. In November, Augusta delivered a full draft environmental impact statement (EIS) to the U.S. Forest Service, and in April 2011, the U.S. Forest Service announced its intent to issue a final decision in January 2012. Augusta anticipated building a 68,000-metric-ton-per-day (t/d) mill for sulfide ore and a heap-leach SX-EW facility with combined annual production of 100,000 t/yr of copper (Augusta Resource Corp., 2010a, b; 2011).

In February, Curis Resources Ltd. (Vancouver) acquired the assets, including permits, of the Florence Copper Project in Arizona from Merrill Ranch Investments LLC (Scottsdale, AZ, and Atlanta, GA). Prior to deferring development in 2000 and then divesting itself of the property, BHP Copper Co. had completed a prefeasibility study and obtained major environmental permits for an in situ leach copper operation. In October 2010, Curis announced a positive preliminary feasibility study, based on a base case of producing 35,000 t/yr of electrowon copper during a 19-year mine life, and was proceeding with amending and updating its operating permits with a goal of initiating copper production in 2012 (Curis Resources Ltd., 2010).

Formation Metals Inc. (Vancouver) was proceeding with its development of the underground Idaho Cobalt Project that was projected to produce about 2,000 t/yr of copper as a byproduct of cobalt production. In January, Formation announced that it had engaged JDS Energy and Mining Inc. to manage engineering and construction of the project, and in April, it announced that it had completed clearing of the mine site in preparation for constructing mine buildings and tailings disposal sites. As of April 2011, the company was pilot testing the hydrometalurgical complex, engaged in various engineering studies to update and complete the final complex design and equipment procurement, and was anticipating startup of construction during the second quarter of 2011 (Formation Metals Inc., 2010; 2011).

In 2010, Mines Management, Inc. (Spokane, WA) completed a preliminary economic assessment of its Montanore silver-copper project in northwestern Montana. The assessment was based on an average ore grade of 0.72% copper and 64 grams per metric ton (g/t) silver and production of 23,200 t/yr copper and 200 t/yr silver. The company was working to update lapsed environmental permits received prior to abandonment of the project in 2002 by its previous owner, Noranda Minerals Corp. During 2010, Mines Management responded to comments on its draft EIS released in 2009. The project hosts one of the world's largest known silver-copper deposits, with estimated resources containing more than 7,000 t of silver and nearly 780,000 t of copper (Mines Management, Inc., 2011, p. 5–8).

The Pebble Limited Partnership (Anchorage, AK), an equal partnership between Anglo American plc (London) and Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. (Vancouver), allocated more than \$73 million for advancement of the Pebble project in southwest Alaska during 2010, increasing the amount invested in the project to about \$500 million. An updated mineral resource assessment released in February increased estimates of contained copper, gold, and molybdenum by 12%, 14%, and 16%, respectively, from the December 2008 estimates. At a copper equivalent cutoff grade of 0.3% copper, the updated measured and indicated resources totaled 5.94 billion metric tons (Gt) grading 0.42% copper (0.78% copper equivalent) and containing 25 Mt of copper; the updated inferred mineral resource totaled 4.84 Gt grading 0.24% copper (0.53% copper equivalent) and containing 11.6 Mt of copper. An updated preliminary assessment, released in February 2011, found that the Pebble prospect could support a 200,000 t/d of ore open pit mine for a minimum of 25 years with a potential to extend to 78 years or beyond, or alternatively, the potential for an underground block-cave mine processing 136,000 t/d for mining beyond the initial 25-year period. Using a 45-year base case, the study concluded that the Pebble project could produce 14 Mt of copper while mining only 32% of the total Pebble resource; produce, at prevailing metal prices, an annual internal pretax rate of return of 23.2% and a 3.2-year payback; require an initial capital investment of \$4.67 billion, exclusive of costs associated with outsourced power and port and road development; and would take 4 years to construct (Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd., 2010; 2011, p. 1–3).

PolyMet Mining Corp. (Vancouver) was proceeding with the permitting of the NorthMet copper-nickel-precious metals project (mine and processing plant) in northeastern Minnesota, a process that began in 2002. In June, PolyMet announced that the State and Federal Government agencies responsible for the EIS would be preparing a supplemental draft EIS. In early 2011, Polymet announced its intent to simplify the phase 2 metallurgical process by eliminating a second autoclave and SX-EW circuit, instead opting to sell copper concentrates along with nickel-cobalt and precious metal products, reducing its environmental impact and reducing capital costs, based on 2008 estimates, by \$127 million (Polymet Mining Corp., 2010; 2011).

Redstone Resources Corp. (Lakewood, CO) continued predevelopment work at the past-producing Zonia Mine (Arizona). Redstone was proceeding with five development programs aimed at generating a final feasibility study. A preliminary economic analysis, released in April 2011 (an update of the previous study released in December 2009) reported measured and indicated in-pit resources for a theoretical 27,000 t/d open pit leach operation of 100 Mt of ore grading an average of 0.28% copper (Redstone Resources Corp., 2011, p. 9).

Rio Tinto plc (London, United Kingdom) was proceeding with the development of two underground mines. Preproduction work continued on the Resolution copper sulfide mine operated by Resolution Copper Mining, LLC (55% Rio Tinto and 45% BHP Billiton) located more than 2,100 meters below the surface and beneath BHP Billiton's shuttered Magma Mine in Arizona, with the goal of beginning production by 2020. Resolution was seeking a land exchange required to access about 3,100 hectares of federally controlled property. Legislation introduced during 2009 in both houses of the U.S. Congress to facilitate the exchange was pending at yearend 2010. Rio Tinto also planned to invest \$469 million in the Kennecott Eagle nickel and copper mine in the Upper Peninsula of Michigan, with first production expected in 2013 and production capacity of 17,300 t/yr and 13,200 t/yr of nickel and copper in concentrate, respectively. In support of these mine developments, as well as the Oyu Tolgoi Mine in Mongolia, Rio Tinto announced an expansion of its "Mine of the Future" program with a \$10 million investment to develop new equipment and systems for deep underground mines and to create the Rio Tinto Centre for Underground Mine Construction in Sudbury, Ontario, Canada. The underground mining center would become the Rio Tinto's fifth global long-term research center (Resolution Copper Mining, LLC, 2009, p. 6–7; Rio Tinto plc, 2010a, b).

#### Consumption

Following an 18% decline in consumption in 2009 to the lowest level since the recession of 1975, U.S. reported consumption of refined copper increased by about 7% in 2010. Consumption by wire-rod producers, which accounted for 70% of reported consumption, increased by about 9%, and consumption by other consumers increased only nominally. Consumption by wire-rod producers was buoyed by a shift in wire-rod trade, the United States becoming a net exporter of 39,000 t of wire rod in 2010 from having had an essentially balanced net trade in 2009. According to data compiled by the American Bureau of Metal Statistics, Inc. (ABMS) (2011b), U.S. apparent consumption of wire rod in 2010 reversed a 4-year downward trend, increasing by about 6% from that in 2009.

According to ABMS, shipments of copper and copper alloy mill products by domestic producers rose by 13% in 2010 to 982,000 t, reversing a 5-year downward trend. From 2004 to 2010, however, apparent consumption (domestic shipments plus net imports), declined by 37%. In 2010, apparent consumption rose in all market segments, with the exception of copper tube (30% market share in 2010), where apparent consumption declined for the fifth consecutive year, decreasing by 4% in 2010, owing to the continued weak housing market and the continued substitution by plastic tube in residential markets. Apparent consumption of copper and copper alloy plate, sheet, and strip, with a combined 35% market share, rose by 22% in 2010; copper and copper alloy rod and bar, with a combined market share of 33%, rose by 27%; and copper alloy tube, with a market share of less than 2%, rose by 29% (American Bureau of Metal Statistics, Inc., 2011a).

According to preliminary data from the Copper Development Association Inc. (2011, p. 18–20), the total supply of copper and copper alloy products to the U.S. market by fabricators (brass mills, foundries, powder producers, and wire mills), which included net imports, rose by about 10% in 2010 from the revised shipments for 2009, reversing a 5-year downward trend. Wire-mill products accounted for about 53% of total shipments to the domestic market; brass mill products, 43%; and foundry and powder products, 4%. Shipments to the building construction sector, which remained the leading end-use market, were essentially unchanged and accounted for about 45% of shipments. Shipments to the electric and electronic products (23% market share), transportation equipment (13% market share), consumer and general products (12% market share), and industrial machinery and equipment (8% market share) sectors rose by 23%, 20%, 20%, and 7%, respectively.

#### **Prices and Stocks**

Although copper prices on average trended upward throughout 2010, the COMEX spot price, which began the year at \$3.33 per pound of copper and averaged \$3.43 per pound for the full year, fluctuated significantly through several cycles. Prices during the first 6 months of 2010 reached lows of \$2.85 per pound and \$2.76 per pound on February 5 and June 6, respectively, and peak values of \$3.48 per pound and \$3.63 per pound on January 6 and April 15, respectively. Although still fluctuating, prices generally trended upward during the last 6 months of the year; the COMEX price rising from \$2.94 per pound at the end of June to its year-high value of \$4.44 per pound on December 31.

The price cycles in the first half of the year correlated with China's import levels. After high yearend imports in December 2009 of 244,000 t, China's copper purchases declined in January to 197,000 t before climbing to 337,000 t in April, approaching the record-high import level of 379,000 t set in June 2009, before retreating back to 212,000 t in June 2010. Global exchange inventories (COMEX, London Metal Exchange Ltd., and SHFE) trended upward during the first 4 months of the year from 687,000 t at yearend 2009 to 778,000 t at the end of April, countering some of the influence of Chinese buying and contributing to the price volatility. Exchange stocks fell from May through September to about 540,000 t, where they remained through November, rising slightly at yearend to 568,000 t. Lower stock levels, continued strong imports by China (1.58 Mt in the last 6 months of 2010 compared with 1.34 Mt in the first 6 months of the year), and investment interest helped to sustain the price rise during the last 6 months of 2010. Full-year 2010 Chinese imports totaled 2.92 Mt, down from the record-high 3.19 Mt in 2009.

According to ICSG data, global demand for refined copper in 2010 exceeded production by about 350,000 t, reversing a 2-year trend during which production exceeded demand by a combined 375,000 t and reported yearend global inventories of refined copper rose by about 400,000 t. Global commodity exchange and industry stocks totaled 1.19 Mt at yearend 2010 and, at the average annual monthly rate of consumption, represented about 3.2 weeks of global supply (International Copper Study Group, 2011a, p. 9).

Copper scrap prices generally followed the trend in refined copper prices. With higher refined prices, however, the discount of most grades of copper scrap to refined copper increased. According to American Metal Market price data, the discount for refiners' No. 2 scrap from the COMEX spot price averaged 38.8 cents per pound for the year, above the average discount of 29.6 cents per pound in 2009, and ranged between 29.4 cents per pound in June and 65.9 cents per pound in December, when refined copper prices rose sharply. Higher refined copper prices and reduced export demand converged to raise the discount at yearend. Although following the same trend, the discount for No. 1 brass mill scrap remained much smaller, averaging 10.4 cents per pound for the year, and ranging between 6.6 cents per pound in May to 18.5 cents per pound in December.

#### **Foreign Trade**

Net refined copper imports in 2010 declined for the fourth consecutive year, falling by about 10% to 527,000 t. In light of lower imports and increased domestic demand, domestic inventories of copper, which had risen by about 235,000 t in 2009, declined by about 50,000 t in 2010. Chile, Canada, and Peru were the leading sources of refined copper imports in 2010, accounting for 51%, 28%, and 16%, respectively, of refined imports. From 2007 through 2010, Chile was the leading source of unwrought copper products (42%), followed by Canada (33%), and Peru (13%). Refined copper accounted for 83% of unwrought copper imports during the same period. Exports

of copper contained in concentrates declined for the second consecutive year to 137,000 t, down from 301,000 t in 2008 and 151,000 t in 2009, owing to reduced mine production from nonintegrated producers and increased smelter operating rates at integrated producers, and after maintenance shutdowns reduced smelter output in 2008.

According to the U.S. Census Bureau data compiled by the Copper and Brass Fabricators Council Inc. (2011, p. 1–9), in 2010, U.S. imports of copper and copper-alloy semifabricated products (excluding wire-rod mill products) were 226,000 t (an increase of 17% from those in 2009), exports were 104,000 t (an increase of 6%), and the resulting net imports rose by 28% to 122,000 t. Canada and Mexico collectively accounted for 64% of semifabricated copper exports and 24% of imports. China, which had been the leading source of imports with a 26% share in 2009, accounted for only 13% of imports in 2010. In anticipation of the ITA antidumping orders finalized in November, imports of copper tube from China and Mexico declined by 55% (23,000 t) and 34% (8,000 t), respectively, from those in 2009.

Exports of copper and copper alloy scrap rose by 23% to a record-high 1.03 Mt. China (including Hong Kong) was the destination for 79% of domestic scrap exports and, based on global import data (official country trade data compiled by the ICSG), was the recipient of 62% of reported global scrap trade of 7.2 Mt. Germany and Belgium, the leading secondary copper smelting countries in Europe, were significant recipients of U.S. scrap, combined accounting for 4% of U.S. scrap exports and 12% of global imports in 2010, up from 3% and 11%, respectively, in 2009 (International Copper Study Group, 2011a, p. 41–43).

#### World Review

Following 2 years of limited growth, world production of refined copper rose by about 750,000 t (about 4%) led by an 11% increase in secondary refined production. Production of refined copper from primary materials rose by about 3%. After remaining stagnant for 2 years, world copper use, according to revised ICSG data, rose by about 7% to a recordhigh 19.4 Mt. Consequently, the ICSG global market balance indicated a production deficit of about 350,000 t, reversing a 2-year cumulative surplus of 350,000 t. Stocks held on the more visible commodity exchanges fell by about 120,000 t to 568,000 t, yet remained well above yearend 2008 stocks of 390,000 t. ICSG estimates of total reported inventories (exchanges, governments, and industry) fell by 160,000 t to 1.19 Mt. With the exception of exchange inventories, inventory levels in China were not reported and were discounted in these analyses. Consumption data for China are based on apparent consumption of refined copper (production, net trade, and SHFE stock changes) and did not account for changes in industry and government stock levels (International Copper Study Group, 2011a, p. 9–20).

World mine production of copper rose nominally (75,000 t) in 2010 to 16.0 Mt. World copper mine production had remained relatively flat from 2005 to 2010, increasing by only about 7% during the period. This low level of growth took place despite an estimated 19% capacity growth from 2005 to 2010, according to data compiled by the ICSG. Consequently, based

on ICSG production and capacity data, capacity utilization at global copper mines trended consistently downward from 88.9% in 2005 to 80% in 2010. Numerous factors contributed to the downward trend, including competition for labor, power, and equipment during the prerecession global boom in mineral commodity production; labor unrest; preferential mining of coproducts; political uncertainty; and technical problems associated with aging and expanding operations. The sharp fall in prices during the fourth quarter of 2008 that had led to industry curtailments and delays or deferrals of anticipated projects continued to limit production in 2010 (International Copper Study Group, 2011b, p. 13).

Global smelter capacity and production increased by about 150,000 t/yr, and 700,000 t, respectively, in 2010 while production of copper in concentrates remained relatively unchanged at 12.7 Mt. Some of the concentrate shortfall was met by a 300,000-t increase in copper recovered from scrap. Consequently, concentrate supplies continued to remain tight through most of 2010 (International Copper Study Group, 2011b, p. 14).

According to CRU International Ltd. (2010, p. 73-77; 2011, p. 69–75) consumption of copper in concentrates exceeded supply by about 180,000 t and fell far short of global demand as smelter capacity utilization fell to 69.5% from 72.2% in 2008. CRU estimated that the cumulative 4-year deficit was about 460,000 t of copper in concentrate. The combined spot treatment (smelting) and refining charge (TC/RC), which had fallen to about 3 cents per pound during the fourth quarter of 2009, remained low during the first half of 2010, reportedly falling into negative figures during the second quarter based on an extreme tightness in the concentrate market. Spot purchases by the dominant Chinese smelters (75% to 80% of the spot market according to CRU estimates) softened during the second half of 2010, however, and spot TC/RCs rose to about 20 cents per pound during the fourth quarter of the year. Spot TC/RCs have trended lower since 2005, when they averaged about 40 cents per pound of copper. Term contracts were much less volatile and averaged about 10 to 12 cents per pound during the year.

After stagnating in 2009, global consumption of refined copper rose by about 7% (1.3 Mt) to a record-high 19.4 Mt in 2010. While Asia, dominated by China, had been the only major copper consuming region to experience growth during the preceding 3 years, consumption in all major consuming regions increased during 2010. In Asia, demand increased by about 10%, despite only 4% growth in China. As noted, China's apparent consumption excludes estimates of unreported stock changes, which can distort year-on-year changes. It is likely that significant stocks accumulated during 2009 when apparent consumption in China increased by 37%, significantly exceeding the country's 4.4% growth in semifabricate production. Conversely, some accumulated stocks likely were released during 2010 when semifabricate production increased by about 15.5% and apparent consumption of refined copper by only 4%. China remained the leading consumer of refined copper, however, accounting for 38% of world demand in 2010. In Japan, the fourth ranked consumer of copper, consumption rose by 21% to 1.06 Mt, but remained below the 2008 prerecession level. In the European Union-15 countries, the second leading consuming region after Asia, consumption rose by 8.7%, yet

also remained below the 2008 level. Consumption in the United States-dominated North American market, the third leading consuming region, rose by about 6% (International Copper Study Group, 2011a, p.10–23).

Relatively little global realignment of the copper industry took place during 2010, with the exception that Grupo México, S.A.B. de C.V. rose to be the sixth and tenth ranked copper mine and refinery producer, respectively, following Chapter 11 restructuring of Asarco and its return to Grupo México's control at yearend 2009. Corporación Nacional del Cobré de Chile (Codelco) retained its position as the leading global mine producer of copper. FCX, BHP Billiton, Xstrata plc (Zug, Switzerland) (exclusive of Glencore's approximate 30% share), and Rio Tinto retained their positions as the second through fifth leading producers, respectively. Combined, the top 5 copper-producing companies accounted for 37% of global mine production, and the top 10 producers accounted for 54%. Codelco retained its position as the leading producer of refined copper, followed by Arubis AG (Hamburg, Germany), FCX, Jiangxi Copper Corp. (Guixi City, Jiangxi Province, China), and Xstrata. The top 5 refiners accounted for 30% of global copper mine production, and the top 10 refiners accounted for 45% (CRU International Ltd., 2011, p. 254).

#### Outlook

Refined copper prices reached record-high average levels in 2011, with the COMEX price averaging \$4.00 per pound of copper. Prices, which began 2011 above \$4.00 per pound, rose to record-high spot prices in mid-February, with the COMEX price peaking at \$4.62 per pound, and generally trending downward to an average of \$3.39 per pound in December. High imports of refined copper by China in the fourth quarter of 2010 and the first 2 months of 2011 supported the price rise. Although trending downward throughout the rest of 2011, continued tight supplies, as indicated by combined exchange inventories which finished the year slightly below those at yearend 2009, helped to support relatively high prices. According to preliminary ICSG data, world apparent consumption of refined copper for the first 9 months of 2011 increased by only about 1% above that for the same period of 2010, with consumption in China, the United States, and the European Union remaining essentially unchanged from that in the same period of 2010. Despite an earthquake and tsunami in March, consumption in Japan was down by only about 4% for the same comparative periods. Russia, where reinstatement of an export tariff on refined copper encouraged the conversion of cathode to wire rod prior to export, was the only country to experience significant growth in demand. Despite increases in capacity, world mine production for the first 9 months of 2011 was essentially unchanged from that in the same period of 2010 primarily owing to worker strikes that disrupted production in Chile and Indonesia. World refined production in the first 9 months of 2011, however, rose by almost 3%, principally owing to an almost 300,000-t increase in secondary refined production (International Copper Study Group, 2011a, p. 9–16).

In the United States, mine production in 2011 was essentially unchanged from that in 2010 as restoration of production that was cutback at yearend 2008 was mostly offset by lower ore grades at a major producer. Electrolytic refinery production declined owing to the 2010 closure of a refinery that treated imported anode and to lower domestic smelter output, the latter resulting in increased concentrate exports. U.S. copper mine production was expected to rise by more than 100,000 t in 2012, primarily owing to continued restoration of production. Domestic consumption of refined copper was nearly unchanged in 2011 despite economic improvement, in large part owing to a shift from being a net exporter to a net importer of wire rod. As a result of high prices and strong demand in China and Europe, exports of copper scrap from the United States rose by about 20% to a record-high 1.24 Mt.

#### **References Cited**

American Bureau of Metal Statistics, Inc., 2011a, Copper and copper alloy mill products—December 2010: Chatham, NJ, American Bureau of Metal Statistics, Inc. Report 3, June 27, 11 p.

American Bureau of Metal Statistics, Inc., 2011b, US copper wirerod market— December 2010: Chatham, NJ, American Bureau of Metal Statistics, Inc. Report 4, March 9, 6 p.

Augusta Resource Corp., 2010a, Augusta announces minority JV with Korean consortium: Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, Augusta Resource Corp. press release, September 16, 1 p.

Augusta Resource Corp., 2010b, Augusta provides Rosemont copper permit update: Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, Augusta Resource Corp. press release, November 16, 1 p.

Augusta Resource Corp., 2011, U.S. Forest Service announces schedule for Rosemont record of decision: Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, Augusta Resource Corp. press release, April 14, 1 p.

BHP Billiton, 2011, BHP Billiton production report for the half year ended 31 December 2010: London, United Kingdom, BHP Billiton, 8 p.

Copper and Brass Fabricators Council Inc., 2011, Copper and brass products import/export report—December 2009: Washington, DC, Copper and Brass Fabricators Council Inc., January, 237 p.

Copper Development Association Inc., 2011, Annual data 2009—Copper supply and consumption: New York, NY, Copper Development Association Inc., 21 p.

CRU International Ltd., 2010, Copper quarterly industry and market outlook— October 2010: London, United Kingdom, CRU International Ltd., 270 p.

CRU International Ltd., 2011, Copper quarterly industry and market outlook— July 2011: London, United Kingdom, CRU International Ltd., 262 p.

Curis Resources Ltd., 2010, Curis receives a strong preliminary economic assessment of its 3 billion pound Florence in-situ copper recovery project: Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, Curis Resources Ltd. news release, October 12, 1 p.

Formation Metals Inc., 2010, Formation Metals concludes Stage I construction on Idaho cobalt project: Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, Formation Metals Inc. press release, April 30, 1 p.

Formation Metals Inc., 2011, Formation Metals cobalt mine commencement of construction targeted for Q2: Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, Formation Metals Inc. press release, April 6, 1 p.

Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold Inc., 2010, FCX reports first-quarter 2010 results: Phoenix, AZ, Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold Inc. news release, April 21, 37 p.

Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold Inc., 2011a, Form 10–K—2010: U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 191 p.

Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold Inc., 2011b, FCX reports fourth-quarter and yearend December 31, 2010 results: Phoenix, AZ, Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold Inc. news release, January 20, 41 p.

Grupo México, S.A.B. de C.V., 2011, Annual report—2010: Mexico City, Mexico, Grupo México, S.A.B. de C.V., 136 p.

International Copper Study Group, 2011a, Copper bulletin: Lisbon, Portugal, International Copper Study Group, v. 18, no. 12, December, 54 p.

International Copper Study Group, 2011b, Directory of copper mines and plants 2009 to 2014—March: Lisbon, Portugal, International Copper Study Group, 118 p.

Lisbon Valley Mining Co. LLC, 2010, Lisbon Valley Mining Company, our history: Moab, UT, Lisbon Valley Mining Co. LLC. (Accessed April 2, 2011, at http://lisbonmine.net/history.html.)

Mercator Minerals Ltd., 2011, Annual information form for the financial year ended December 31, 2010: Kingman, AZ, Mercator Minerals Ltd., March 31, 77 p. (Accessed September 10, 2011, at http://www.mercatorminerals.com/i/pdf/filings/AIF\_2010.pdf.)

Mines Management, Inc., 2011, Mines Management Inc. 2010 annual report: Spokane, WA, Mines Management, Inc., 10 p.

Nord Resources Corp., 2011, Nord Resources reports 2010 year-end results: Dragoon, AZ, Nord Resources Corp. press release, April 1, 10 p.

Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd., 2010, Updated mineral resource estimate confirms the Pebble project as North America's most important new coppergold-molybdenum development opportunity: Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. news release, February 1, 3 p.

Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd., 2011, Northern Dynasty receives positive preliminary assessment technical report for globally significant Pebble coppergold-molybdenum project in Southwest Alaska: Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, Northern Dynasty Minerals Ltd. news release, February 23, 17 p.

Polymet Mining Corp., 2010, PolyMet EIS—Path forward to completion announced: Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, Polymet Mining Corp. news release, June 25, 2 p.

Polymet Mining Corp., 2011, PolyMet simplifies metallurgical process at NorthMet Project: Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, Polymet Mining Corp. news release, February 2, 1 p.

Quadra FNX Mining Ltd., 2011a, Annual report 2010: Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, Quadra FNX Mining Ltd., 43 p.

Quadra FNX Mining Ltd., 2011b, Quadra FNX announces fourth quarter and 2010 results and provides guidance for 2011: Vancouver, British Columbia, Quadra FNX Mining Ltd. news release, January 24, 5 p.

Redstone Resources Corp., 2011, Zonia copper project preliminary assessment update NI 43–10–1 technical report: Lakewood, CO, Redstone Resources Corp., April 29, 192 p. (Accessed November 2, 2011, at http:// zoniamine.com/Redstone\_Zonia\_04\_29\_11 Final signed.pdf.)

Resolution Copper Mining, LLC, 2009, 2009 five-year community relations plan: Superior, AZ, Resolution Copper Mining, LLC, December 15, 22 p. (Accessed September 3, 2010, at http://www.resolutioncopper.com/res/ whoweare/2.html.)

Rio Tinto plc, 2010a, Rio Tinto announces new global centre for underground mine construction in Canada: London, United Kingdom, Rio Tinto plc press release, November 26, 1 p.

Rio Tinto plc, 2010b, Rio Tinto to invest US\$469 million in development of Kennecott Eagle nickel and copper mine: London, United Kingdom, Rio Tinto plc press release, June 15, 1 p.

Rio Tinto plc, 2011, Fourth quarter 2010 operations review: London, United Kingdom, Rio Tinto plc press release, January 18, 26 p.

U.S. Department of Commerce, 2010a, North American Free Trade Agreement, article 1904 NAFTA panel reviews; request for panel review: Federal Register, v. 75, no. 250, December 30, p. 82375–82376.

U.S. Department of Commerce, 2010b, Seamless refined copper pipe and tube from Mexico and People's Republic of China antidumping duty orders and amended final determination of sales of less than fair value from Mexico: Federal Register, v. 75, no. 224, November 22, p. 71070–17072.

#### **GENERAL SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

#### **U.S. Geological Survey Publications**

Copper. Ch. in Metal Prices in the United States Through 1998, 1999.

Copper. Ch. in Mineral Commodity Summaries, annual.

Copper. Mineral Industry Surveys, monthly.

Copper Recycling in the United States. U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1196–X.

Flows of selected materials associated with world copper smelting. Open-File Report 20041–395.

#### Other

American Bureau of Metal Statistics nonferrous metal data. International Copper Study Group, Copper Bulletin, monthly.

International Copper Study Group, Directory of Copper Mines and Plants.

Copper. Ch. in Mineral Facts and Problems, U.S. Bureau of Mines Bulletin 675, 1985.

### TABLE 1 SALIENT COPPER STATISTICS<sup>1</sup>

#### (Metric tons, unless otherwise specified)

	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
United States:					
Mine production:					
Ore concentrated thousand metric tons	163,000	138,000	156,000	149,000	152,000
Average yield of concentrated ore percent	0.40	0.46	0.50	0.46	0.43
Recoverable copper:					
Arizona	712,000	731,000	836,000	711,000	703,000
New Mexico	113,000	108,000	104,000	56,500	52,700
Other States	372,000	329,000	368,000	414,000	353,000
Total	1,200,000	1,170,000	1,310,000	1,180,000	1,110,000
Total value millions	\$8,310	\$8,450	\$9,200	\$6,290	\$8,520
Smelter production:					
Primary and secondary	501,000	617,000	574,000	597,000	601,000
Byproduct sulfuric acid, sulfur content thousand metric tons	576	720	655	671	682
Refinery production:					
Primary materials:					
Electrolytic from domestic ores	531,000	702,000	603,000	588,000	606,000
Electrolytic from foreign materials	144,000	62,100	109,000	48,300	21,000
Electrowon	530,000	504,000	507,000	476,000	430,000
Total	1,210,000	1,270,000	1,220,000	1,110,000	1,060,000
Secondary materials (scrap), electrolytic and fire refined	44,800	46,000	53,800	46,400	37,700
Grand total	1,250,000	1,310,000	1,270,000	1,160,000	1,090,000
Secondary copper produced:					
Recovered from new scrap	819,000	767,000	697,000	639,000 <sup>r</sup>	641,000
Recovered from old scrap	151,000	158,000	156,000	138,000 <sup>r</sup>	131,000
Total	969,000	925,000	852,000	777,000 <sup>r</sup>	772,000
Copper sulfate production	19,500	22,600	22,000	22,400	23,400
Exports, refined	106,000	51,100	36,500	80,800	78,300
Imports, refined	1,070,000	829,000	724,000	664,000	605,000
Stocks, December 31:					
Blister and in-process material	18,800	26,300	24,100	15,500	21,100
Refined copper:					
Refineries	28,100	21,800	18,300	23,700	10,300
Wire-rod mills	21,500	20,600	31,700	25,300	19,700
Brass mills	34,500	10,400	8,340	7,610	6,400
Other industry	3,280	3,220	3,230	4,290	4,380
COMEX	30,900	13,500	31,300	90,000	58,600
London Metal Exchange (LME), U.S. warehouses	75,600	60,600	106,000	283,000	284,000
Total	194,000	130,000	199,000	434,000	384,000
Consumption:	<b>a</b> 110 000	<b>a</b> 1 10 000	• • • • • • • • •	1 670 000	1 = 40,000
Refined copper, reported	2,110,000	2,140,000	2,020,000	1,650,000	1,760,000
Apparent consumption, primary refined and old scrap <sup>2</sup>	2,200,000	2,270,000	1,990,000	1,580,000	1,740,000
Price:					
Producer, weighted average cents per pound	314.75	328.00	319.16	241.24	348.34
COMEX, first position do.	308.94	322.17	313.35	235.42	342.51
LME, Grade A cash do.	304.85	322.83	315.47	233.56	341.74
World, production:					
Mine thousand metric tons	15,100	15,500	15,600 <sup>r</sup>	15,900	16,000
Smelter do.	14,100	14,300	14,700	14,900 <sup>r</sup>	15,600
Refinery do.	17,300	17,900	18,300	18,400	19,100

#### TABLE 1—Continued SALIENT COPPER STATISTICS<sup>1</sup>

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. <sup>r</sup>Revised. do. Ditto.

<sup>1</sup>Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits, except prices; may not add to totals shown.

<sup>2</sup>In 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010, apparent consumption is calculated using general imports of 1,080,000 metric tons (t), 832,000 t,

721,000 t, 645,000 t, and 583,000 t, respectively.

#### TABLE 2

#### LEADING COPPER-PRODUCING MINES IN THE UNITED STATES IN 2010, IN ORDER OF OUTPUT<sup>1</sup>

					Capacity
					(thousand
Rank	Mine	County and State	Operator	Source of copper	metric tons)
1	Bingham Canyon	Salt Lake, UT	Kennecott Utah Copper Corp. <sup>2</sup>	Copper-molybdenum ore, concentrated and leached	300
2	Morenci	Greenlee, AZ	Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold Inc.	do.	400
3	Ray	Pinal, AZ	ASARCO LLC	Copper ore, concentrated and leached	140
4	Bagdad	Yavapai, AZ	Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold Inc.	Copper-molybdenum ore, concentrated and leached	110
5	Mission Complex	Pima, AZ	ASARCO LLC	Copper-molybdenum ore, concentrated	80
6	Sierrita	do.	Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold Inc.	Copper-molybdenum ore, concentrated and leached	80
7	Safford	Graham, AZ	do.	Copper ore, leached	110
8	Robinson	White Pine, NV	Quadra Mining Ltd.	Copper-molybdenum ore, concentrated	70
9	Tyrone	Grant, NM	Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold Inc.	Copper ore, leached	50
10	Continental Pit	Silver Bow, MT	Montana Resources	Copper-molybdenum ore, concentrated	40
11	Silver Bell	Pima, AZ	ASARCO LLC	Copper ore, leached	22
12	Chino	Grant, NM	Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold Inc.	Copper-molybdenum ore, leached	135
13	Mineral Park	Mohave, AZ	Mercator Minerals Ltd.	Copper-molybdenum ore, concentrated and leached	17
14	Carlota	Gila, AZ	Quadra Mining Ltd.	Copper ore, leached	15
15	Phoenix	Lander, NV	Newmont Mining Corp.	Gold-copper ore, concentrated	15
16	Miami	Gila, AZ	Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold Inc.	Copper ore, leached	50
17	Lisbon Valley	San Juan, UT	Lisbon Valley Mining Co. LLC	do.	7
18	Johnson Complex	Cochise, AZ	Nord Resources Corp.	do.	5

do. Ditto.

<sup>1</sup>The mines on this list accounted for more than 99% of U.S. mine production in 2010.

<sup>2</sup>Wholly owned subsidiary of Rio Tinto plc.

#### TABLE 3

### MINE PRODUCTION OF COPPER-BEARING ORES AND RECOVERABLE COPPER CONTENT OF ORE: PRODUCED IN THE UNITED STATES, BY SOURCE AND TREATMENT PROCESS $^1$

#### (Metric tons)

	2009	)	2010			
-	Gross	Recoverable	Gross	Recoverable		
Source and treatment process	weight	copper	weight	copper		
Mined copper ore:						
Concentrated	149,000,000	683,000	152,000,000	659,000		
Leached	NA	476,000	NA	430,000		
Total	NA	1,160,000	NA	1,090,000		
Copper precipitates shipped, leached from						
tailings, dumps, and in-place material	NA	W	NA	W		
Other copper-bearing ores <sup>2</sup>	4,480,000	21,900	4,780,000	19,700		
Grand total	XX	1,180,000	XX	1,110,000		

NA Not available. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data; included with "Other copper-bearing ores." XX Not applicable.

<sup>1</sup>Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

<sup>2</sup>Includes gold ore, lead ore, silver ore, and zinc ore.

#### TABLE 4

#### CONSUMPTION OF COPPER AND BRASS MATERIALS IN THE UNITED STATES, BY ITEM<sup>1</sup>

(Metric	tons)
---------	-------

			Foundries,	Smelters,	
			chemical plants,	refiners,	
Item	Brass mills	Wire-rod mills	miscellaneous users	ingot makers	Total
2009:					
Copper scrap	685,000 <sup>r, 2</sup>	W	67,100 <sup>r</sup>	159,000	911,000 r
Refined copper <sup>3</sup>	454,000	1,140,000	49,500	4,510	1,650,000
Hardeners and master alloys	9,990		3,090		13,100
Brass ingots			55,400 <sup>r</sup>		55,400 r
Slab zinc	44,800		(4)	(4)	107,000
2010:					
Copper scrap	694,000 <sup>2</sup>	W	66,100	150,000	911,000
Refined copper <sup>3</sup>	459,000	1,250,000	53,100	4,510	1,760,000
Hardeners and master alloys	10,100		4,370		14,400
Brass ingots			61,700		61,700
Slab zinc	44,800		(4)	(4)	106,000

<sup>r</sup>Revised. W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data; included with "Brass mills." -- Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

<sup>2</sup>Includes item indicated by symbol W.

<sup>3</sup>Detailed information on consumption of refined copper can be found in table 5.

<sup>4</sup>Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data; included in "Total."

#### TABLE 5

#### CONSUMPTION OF REFINED COPPER SHAPES IN THE UNITED STATES, BY CLASS OF CONSUMER<sup>1</sup>

		Ingots and	Cakes and	Wirebar, billets,	
Class of consumer	Cathodes	ingot bars	slabs	other	Total
2009:					
Wire-rod mills	1,140,000			4,620	1,140,000
Brass mills	313,000	24,200	43,600	72,800	454,000
Chemical plants				367	367
Ingot makers	W	W	W	4,510 <sup>2</sup>	4,510
Foundries	3,450	3,240		12,400	19,100
Miscellaneous <sup>3</sup>	W	W	W	30,100 2	30,100
Total	1,450,000	27,400	43,600	125,000	1,650,000
2010:					
Wire-rod mills	1,250,000				1,250,000
Brass mills	320,000	19,400	44,100	75,600	459,000
Chemical plants				385	385
Ingot makers	W	W	W	4,510 <sup>2</sup>	4,510
Foundries	3,470	3,150		11,500	18,200
Miscellaneous <sup>3</sup>	W	W	W	34,600 <sup>2</sup>	34,600
Total	1,570,000	22,500	44,100	127,000	1,760,000

#### (Metric tons)

W Withheld to avoid disclosing company proprietary data; included with "Wirebar, billets, other." -- Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

<sup>2</sup>Includes items indicated by symbol W.

<sup>3</sup>Includes consumers of copper powder and copper shot, iron and steel plants, and other manufacturers.

## TABLE 6 COPPER RECOVERED FROM SCRAP PROCESSED IN THE UNITED STATES, BY KIND OF SCRAP AND FORM OF RECOVERY $^{\rm 1}$

(Metric tons)

	2009	2010
Kind of scrap:		
New scrap:		
Copper-base	608,000	611,000
Aluminum-base	30,400 <sup>r</sup>	30,300
Nickel-base	18	18
Total	639,000 <sup>r</sup>	641,000
Old scrap:		
Copper-base	120,000	109,000
Aluminum-base	17,800 <sup>r</sup>	21,800
Nickel-base	267	266
Zinc-base	9	9
Total	138,000 <sup>r</sup>	131,000
Grand total	777,000 <sup>r</sup>	772,000
Form of recovery:		
As unalloyed copper	47,100	39,000
In brass and bronze	676,000 r	676,000
In alloy iron and steel	673	731
In aluminum alloys	48,200 r	51,900
In other alloys	8	9
In chemical compounds	5,030	5,030
Total	777,000 <sup>r</sup>	772,000

<sup>r</sup>Revised.

<sup>1</sup>Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

#### TABLE 7

### COPPER RECOVERED AS REFINED COPPER AND IN ALLOYS AND OTHER FORMS FROM COPPER-BASE SCRAP PROCESSED IN THE UNITED STATES, BY TYPE OF OPERATION $^1$

#### (Metric tons)

	From net	From new scrap		From old scrap		Total	
Type of operation	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	
Ingot makers	11,500	11,700	62,400	59,800	73,900	71,500	
Refineries <sup>2</sup>	20,800	19,100	25,600	18,600	46,400	37,700	
Brass and wire-rod mills	545,000	548,000	16,500	17,200	561,000	565,000	
Foundries and manufacturers	26,100 <sup>r</sup>	27,700	15,300 <sup>r</sup>	13,300	41,400 <sup>r</sup>	40,900	
Chemical plants	5,030	5,030			5,030	5,030	
Total	608,000	611,000	120,000	109,000	728,000	720,000	

<sup>r</sup>Revised. -- Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

<sup>2</sup>Includes electrolytically refined based on source of material at smelter level.

#### TABLE 8

#### PRODUCTION OF SECONDARY COPPER AND COPPER-ALLOY PRODUCTS IN THE UNITED STATES, BY ITEM PRODUCED FROM SCRAP<sup>1</sup>

#### (Metric tons)

Item produced from scrap	2009	2010
Unalloyed copper products:		
Refined copper	46,400	37,700
Copper powder	587	1,230
Copper castings	82	82
Total	47,100	39,000
Alloyed copper products:		
Brass and bronze ingots:		
Tin bronzes	6,890	7,870
Leaded red brass and semired brass	52,400	46,100
High leaded tin bronze	7,330	8,450
Yellow brass	4,870	5,060
Manganese bronze	7,260	7,390
Aluminum bronze	5,860	5,920
Nickel silver	1,060	1,040
Silicon bronze and brass	4,710	4,620
Copper-base hardeners and master alloys	7,670	7,670
Miscellaneous	6,090	7,720
Total	104,000	102,000
Brass mill and wire-rod mill products	678,000	689,000
Brass and bronze castings	38,800 <sup>r</sup>	35,800
Copper in chemical products	5,030	5,030
Grand total	873,000	871,000

rRevised.

<sup>1</sup>Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

#### TABLE 9

#### COMPOSITION OF SECONDARY COPPER-ALLOY PRODUCTION IN THE UNITED STATES<sup>1</sup>

#### (Metric tons)

	Copper	Tin	Lead	Zinc	Nickel	Aluminum	Total
Brass and bronze ingot production: <sup>2</sup>							
2009	86,700 <sup>r</sup>	3,240 <sup>r</sup>	4,910 <sup>r</sup>	9,130 <sup>r</sup>	116	11	104,000
2010	84,300	3,600	5,070	8,730	113	13	102,000
Secondary metal content of brass mill							
products:							
2009	565,000	1,180	2,270	109,000	860	16	678,000
2010	570,000	1,400	2,020	115,000	1,060	16	689,000
Secondary metal content of brass and							
bronze castings:							
2009	35,200 r	1,170	578 <sup>r</sup>	1,640 <sup>r</sup>	203 <sup>r</sup>	97	38,800 r
2010	32,400	1,100	574	1,460	130	101	35,800

<sup>r</sup>Revised.

<sup>1</sup>Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

 $^2 Includes approximately 96\%$  from scrap and 4% from other than scrap in 2009 and 2010.

#### TABLE 10

#### CONSUMPTION AND YEAREND STOCKS OF COPPER-BASE SCRAP<sup>1</sup>

#### (Metric tons, gross weight)

	2009		2010		
Scrap type and processor	Consumption	Stocks	Consumption	Stocks	
Unalloyed scrap:					
No.1 wire and heavy:					
Smelters, refiners, and ingot makers	45,000	968	17,300	849	
Brass and wire-rod mills	332,000	(2)	319,000	(2)	
Foundries and miscellaneous manufacturers	16,800	(2)	18,800	(2)	
No. 2 mixed heavy and light:					
Smelters, refiners, and ingot makers	40,600	2,240	59,700	2,500	
Brass and wire-rod mills	8,590	(2)	10,200	(2)	
Foundries and miscellaneous manufacturers	6,450	(2)	7,260	(2)	
Total unalloyed scrap:					
Smelters, refiners, and ingot makers	85,600	3,210	76,900	3,350	
Brass and wire-rod mills	341,000	369 <sup>r</sup>	330,000	686	
Foundries and miscellaneous manufacturers	23,300	1,670	26,000	1,920	
Alloyed scrap:					
Red brass: <sup>3</sup>					
Smelters, refiners, and ingot makers	20,600	1,550	22,500	1,560	
Brass mills	9,230	(2)	11,100	(2)	
Foundries and miscellaneous manufacturers	5,950	(2)	2,790	(2)	
Leaded yellow brass:					
Smelters, refiners, and ingot makers	9,970	640	9,930	820	
Brass mills	116,000	(2)	103,000	(2)	
Foundries and miscellaneous manufacturers	1,000	(2)	971	(2)	
Yellow and low brass, all plants	127,000	577 <sup>r</sup>	146,000	491	
Cartridge cases and brass, all plants	87,800	(2)	98,200	(2)	
Auto radiators:					
Smelters, refiners, and ingot makers	20,200	774	18,100	862	
Foundries and miscellaneous manufacturers	1,900	(2)	1,900	(2)	
Bronzes:					
Smelters, refiners, and ingot makers	10,800	612	11,900	874	
Brass mills and miscellaneous manufacturers	15,800	(2)	16,300	(2)	
Nickel-copper alloys, all plants	9,060 <sup>r</sup>	178 <sup>r</sup>	9,730	152	
Low grade and residues; smelters, refiners,					
miscellaneous manufacturers	23,300	605 r	23,000	468	
Other alloy scrap: <sup>4</sup>					
Smelters, refiners, and ingot makers	1,110	191	1,290	412	
Brass mills and miscellaneous manufacturers	5,270	(2)	5,340	(2)	
Total alloyed scrap:		(-)	-,	(-/	
Smelters, refiners, and ingot makers	73,700	5,010	73,700	5,510	
Brass mills	347,000	545 <sup>r</sup>	368,000	547	
Foundries and miscellaneous manufacturers	43,800 r			2,020	
Total scrap:	+3,000	2,330	40,100	2,020	
Smelters, refiners, and ingot makers	159,000	8,220	151,000	8,860	
Brass and wire-rod mills	688,000	914 <sup>r</sup>	698,000	1,230	
Foundries and miscellaneous manufacturers	67,100 <sup>r</sup>	4,000 r	66,100	3,930	
i ounumes and miscenalicous manufacturers	07,100	4,000	00,100	3,930	

### TABLE 10—Continued CONSUMPTION AND YEAREND STOCKS OF COPPER-BASE SCRAP<sup>1</sup>

#### <sup>r</sup>Revised.

<sup>1</sup>Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

<sup>2</sup>Individual breakdown is not available; included in "Total unalloyed scrap," "Total alloyed scrap," and "Total scrap."

<sup>3</sup>Includes cocks and faucets, commercial bronze, composition turnings, gilding metal, railroad car boxes, and silicon bronze.

<sup>4</sup>Includes aluminum bronze, beryllium copper, and refinery brass.

#### TABLE 11

#### CONSUMPTION OF PURCHASED COPPER-BASE SCRAP<sup>1, 2</sup>

#### (Metric tons, gross weight)

	From new scrap		From old scrap		Total	
Type of operation	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010
Ingot makers	34,000 <sup>r</sup>	34,500	78,100 <sup>r</sup>	77,200	112,000	112,000
Smelters and refineries	24,300	20,100	22,900	18,800	47,200	38,900
Brass and wire-rod mills	671,000	681,000	16,900	17,500	688,000	698,000
Foundries and miscellaneous manufacturers	50,700 r	48,200	16,400 <sup>r</sup>	17,900	67,100 <sup>r</sup>	66,100
Total	780,000 r	783,000	134,000 r	131,000	915,000 r	915,000

<sup>r</sup>Revised.

<sup>1</sup>Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

<sup>2</sup>Consumption at brass and wire-rod mills assumed equal to receipts.

#### TABLE 12

#### FOUNDRIES AND MISCELLANEOUS MANUFACTURERS CONSUMPTION OF BRASS INGOT, REFINED COPPER, AND COPPER SCRAP IN THE UNITED STATES<sup>1</sup>

#### (Metric tons)

Ingot type or material consumed	2009	2010
Tin bronzes	8,340	9,160
Leaded red brass and semired brass	33,700	36,700
Yellow, leaded, low brass <sup>2</sup>	6,080	7,250
Manganese bronze	2,240	2,420
Nickel silver <sup>3</sup>	620	483
Aluminum bronze	3,020	3,440
Hardeners and master alloys <sup>4</sup>	3,090	4,370
Lead free alloys <sup>5</sup>	1,420	2,170
Total brass ingot	58,500	66,000
Refined copper	49,500	53,100
Copper scrap	67,100 <sup>r</sup>	66,100

<sup>r</sup>Revised.

<sup>1</sup>Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

<sup>2</sup>Includes brass and silicon bronze.

<sup>3</sup>Includes brass, copper nickel, and nickel bronze.

<sup>4</sup>Includes special alloys.

<sup>5</sup>Includes copper-bismuth and copper-bismuth-selenium alloys.

### TABLE 13AVERAGE PRICES FOR COPPER SCRAP, BY TYPE

#### (Cents per pound)

			Dealers' bu	ying (New York)
	Brass mills	Refiners	No. 2	Red brass turnings
Year	No. 1 scrap	No. 2 scrap	scrap	and borings
2009	226.83	205.85	159.16	112.93
2010	325.19	297.67	252.40	164.12

Source: American Metal Market.

TABLE 14 U.S. EXPORTS OF UNMANUFACTURED COPPER (COPPER CONTENT), BY COUNTRY<sup>1</sup>

	Ore and	Ore and concentrate	Matte, ash, and precipitates	d precipitates	Blister and anodes	nd anodes	Ret	Refined	Unalloyed (	Unalloyed copper scrap	Τ	Total
	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
Country	(metric tons)	(thousands)	(metric tons)	(thousands)	(metric tons)	(thousands)	(metric tons)	(thousands)	(metric tons)	(thousands)	(metric tons)	(thousands)
2009	151,000	\$743,000	40,900	\$63,800	26,000	\$98,600	80,800	\$375,000	245,000	\$856,000	543,000	\$2,140,000
2010:												
Belgium	70	458	844	2,700	102	433	303	503	11,000	52,600	12,300	56,700
Canada	12,800	91,000	41,400	88,000	6,010	22,600	8,030	49,000	15,500	109,000	83,800	360,000
China	82,300	566,000	455	2,630	294	2,380	34,300	223,000	247,000	1,060,000	365,000	1,860,000
Germany	18	104	846	1,250	2,160	9,350	30	152	4,190	25,500	7,240	36,400
Hong Kong	1	1	1	1	1,740	13,500	4,690	34,800	10,300	53,500	16,700	102,000
India	1	1	1	;	458	3,470	99	295	965	5,960	1,490	9,720
Japan	9,610	66,300	100	395	1,920	17,400	39	69	7,180	48,600	18,800	133,000
Korea, Republic of	3,100	23,600	I	;	659	5,360	113	193	13,300	88,200	17,200	117,000
Mexico	24,700	140,000	I	;	670	4,020	16,100	119,000	4,090	25,400	45,500	289,000
Taiwan	1	5	I	;	454	3,450	800	5,390	2,940	17,000	4,200	25,800
Other	3,960	27,900	286	432	2,400	17,500	13,800	82,900	16,700	101,000	37,200	230,000
Total	137,000	916,000	44,000	95,400	16,900	99,400	78,300	515,000	334,000	1,590,000	609,000	3,220,000

<sup>1</sup>Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

	Pipes and tubing	d tubing	Plates, sheets, foil, bars	s, foil, bars	Bare wire, including wire rod <sup>2</sup>	iding wire rod <sup>2</sup>	Wire and cable, stranded	ble, stranded	Copper sulfate	sulfate
	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
Country	(metric tons)	(thousands)	(metric tons)	(thousands)	(metric tons)	(thousands)	(metric tons)	(thousands)	(metric tons)	(thousand
2009	21,200	\$164,000	20,700	\$138,000	126,000	\$668,000	20,400	\$163,000	5,880	\$9,7
2010:										
Australia	20	176	28	251	390	3,080	73	585	14	
Brazil	39	347	91	806	3	58	15	3,170	-	
Canada	7,790	70,000	7,160	65,000	37,400	275,000	8,930	78,500	2,120	4,9
China	139	1,820	2,690	19,400	4,250	28,800	1,500	17,200	1,180	2,0
Denmark	1	15	1	18	1	13	4	233	-	
France	2	27	32	723	20	393	36	1,110	1	
Germany	3	72	194	3,250	241	6,000	100	2,830	478	
Hong Kong	9	72	249	2,720	229	<i>611</i>	129	5,110	1	
Italy	28	249	21	550	28	406	16	405	1	
Japan	2	36	224	3,700	15	363	256	4,520	88	
Korea, Republic of	59	1,020	363	3,370	386	3,360	129	5,910	92	
Malaysia	5	35	665	5,380	117	3,810	16	382	124	
Mexico	7,510	67,600	9,770	82,700	118,000	756,000	11,000	93,500	143	
Netherlands	82	718	78	1,680	31	634	18	540	333	
Saudi Arabia	665	5,510	13	130	11	82	242	2,480	42	
Singapore	56	1,160	165	1,860	24	277	82	1,910	21	
Sweden	(3)	4	(3)	20	34	922	1	77	1	
Taiwan	11	167	1,580	10,600	114	750	31	995	1,010	1,3
Thailand	31	428	55	754	18	294	16	558	1	
United Kingdom	172	1,660	30	006	106	1,270	92	1,320	16	
Other	850	1 930	660	7 370	1 500	19 900	7 690	35 000	0.000	2 6

4,910 - ... 896 - ... 896 - ... 121 83 162 201 634 533 201 634

27 ł

(thousands) \$9,700

Value

1,380

ł

3,51014,100

26

16 2,3007,970

1,32035,000 256,000

92 2,690 25,400

> 19,900 1,100,000

> 1,590163,000

> 7,370 211,000

> > 24,100

159,000 7,930

850 17,500

ł

U.S. EXPORTS OF COPPER SEMIMANUFACTURES, BY COUNTRY<sup>1</sup> **TABLE 15** 

> Total -- Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

<sup>2</sup>Total exports of wire rod in 2009 were 113,000 metric tons (t) valued at \$572 million, and in 2010, wire rod exports were 144,000 t valued at \$940 million.  $^3$ Less than  $1/_2$  unit.

TABLE 16 U.S. IMPORTS FOR CONSUMPTION OF UNMANUFACTURED COPPER (COPPER CONTENT), BY COUNTRY <sup>1</sup>
---

		Ore and co	Ore and concentrate	Matte, ash, and	nd precipitates	Blister and anode	nd anode	Refined	ned	Unalloy	Unalloyed scrap	Tc	Total
Country         (metric tons)         (thousands)         (metric tons) <th></th> <th>Quantity</th> <th>Value<sup>2</sup></th> <th>Quantity</th> <th>Value<sup>2</sup></th> <th>Quantity</th> <th>Value<sup>2</sup></th> <th>Quantity</th> <th>Value<sup>2</sup></th> <th>Quantity</th> <th>Value<sup>2</sup></th> <th>Quantity</th> <th>Value<sup>2</sup></th>		Quantity	Value <sup>2</sup>	Quantity	Value <sup>2</sup>	Quantity	Value <sup>2</sup>	Quantity	Value <sup>2</sup>	Quantity	Value <sup>2</sup>	Quantity	Value <sup>2</sup>
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Country	(metric tons)		(metric tons)	(thousands)	(metric tons)	(thousands)	(metric tons)	(thousands)	(metric tons)	(thousands)	(metric tons)	(thousands)
zil         -	2009	137	\$271	759	\$2,260	68,400	\$389,000	664,000	\$3,120,000	16,300	\$52,000	749,000	\$3,570,000
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	2010:												
inf         1,270         1,960         310         1,110         21,700         157,000         168,000         1,230,000         9,010         53,500         23,500         23,500         23,500         23,500         23,500         23,500         20         20           Rica         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         36,000         5,3,500         23,500         23,500         24,00         1         -	Brazil	1	1	1	1	1	1	1,980	14,100	1	1	1,980	14,100
Fical $Fical$ <	Canada	1,270	1,960	310	1,110	21,700	157,000	168,000	1,230,000	9,010	53,500	200,000	1,450,000
Rica867 $3,520$ nican Republic867 $3,520$ nican Republic628 $1,180$ nican Republic628 $1,180$ nic348 $2,470$ 111any3,180 $24,100$ 2864any3,370019,200149,0002864o171397,100706,0001453o1102645271,9301631,590240019,20019,20019,2002090,400al1,3902,2408403,05026,300201,000605,0004,470,00021,40090,40060,400	Chile	-	1	1	1	1	-	308,000	2,290,000	-	:	308,000	2,290,000
nican Republic         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         628         1,180           id         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         -         628         1,180           id         -         -         -         3,180         2,470         1         11         -	Costa Rica	-	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	867	3,520	867	3,520
$ \begin{array}{ cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Dominican Republic	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	628	1,180	628	1,180
any                 64            -         -         3         15         15         15         1,400         24,100         28         64            -         -         3         15         15         1,400         4,990         43,100         14         53            -         -         -         -         38,700         19,200         149,000         5,850         18,100         2           -         -         -         -         -         -         97,100         706,000         - </td <td>Finland</td> <td>I</td> <td>ł</td> <td>1</td> <td>I</td> <td>348</td> <td>2,470</td> <td>1</td> <td>11</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>348</td> <td>2,480</td>	Finland	I	ł	1	I	348	2,470	1	11	1	1	348	2,480
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Germany	1	1	1	1	(3)	4	3,180	24,100	28	64	3,210	24,200
co         17         13           3,990         38,700         19,200         149,000         5,850         18,100                97,100         706,000	Japan	1	1	ŝ	15	15	1,400	4,990	43,100	14	53	5,030	44,600
97,100         706,000	Mexico	17	13	1	1	3,990	38,700	19,200	149,000	5,850	18,100	29,000	206,000
110         264         527         1,930         163         1,590         2,400         16,400         4,990         13,900           al         1,390         2,240         840         3,050         26,300         201,000         605,000         4,470,000         20,400         65	Peru	1	1	1	1	1	1	97,100	706,000	1	1	97,100	706,000
1,390 2,240 840 3,050 26,300 201,000 605,000 4,470,000 21,400 90,400	Other	110	264	527	1,930	163	1,590	2,400	16,400	4,990	13,900	8,190	34,100
	Total	1,390	2,240	840	3,050	26,300	201,000	605,000	4,470,000	21,400	90,400	655,000	4,770,000

-- Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

<sup>2</sup>Cost, insurance, freight value at U.S. port.

<sup>3</sup>Less than ½ unit.

TABLE 17 U.S. IMPORTS FOR CONSUMPTION OF COPPER SEMIMANUFACTURES, BY COUNTRY <sup>1</sup>
--

	Pipes and tubing	d tubing	Plates, sheets, foil, bars	s, foil, bars	Bare wire, including wire rod <sup>2</sup>	iding wire rod <sup>2</sup>	Wire and cable, stranded	le, stranded	Copper sulfate	sulfate
	Quantity	Value <sup>3</sup>	Quantity	$Value^{3}$	Quantity	Value <sup>3</sup>	Quantity	Value <sup>3</sup>	Quantity	$Value^{3}$
Country	(metric tons)	(thousands)	(metric tons)	(thousands)	(metric tons)	(thousands)	(metric tons)	(thousands)	(metric tons)	(thousands)
2009	1,670	\$12,700	42,500	\$290,000	120,000	\$594,000	10,900	\$69,600	49,300	\$88,200
2010:										
Brazil	:	1	1,240	10,500	8	88	(4)	4	1	I
Canada	13	117	2,150	18,300	99,800	749,000	539	4,520	4,540	9,290
Chile	65	504	4,630	35,400	35	205	1	1	3,050	6,430
China	30	181	2,300	18,900	304	3,210	317	2,130	4,720	6,890
Finland	245	3,300	4,380	41,400	609	6,020	1	-	3	25
France	5	211	1,600	13,400	90	5,750	39	821	1	1
Germany	260	3,000	21,600	200,000	1,010	11,100	111	2,240	130	186
Israel	;	1	ŝ	23	326	3,520	(4)	S	1	1
Italy	14	210	740	6,070	1	103	7	110	1	7
Japan	16	257	1,050	15,600	225	5,000	5	34	27	485
Korea, Republic of	(4)	18	892	9,250	244	1,680	548	3,060	32	246
Luxembourg	;	ł	1,250	16,400	ł	1	1	1	1	-
Mexico	3,440	26,800	3,430	28,800	8,680	63,700	173	2,110	30,400	71,900
Peru	:	-	5,170	42,700	1,120	8,820	1	1	873	1,940
Russia	1	ł	1	1	1	5	1	1	985	3,280
Sweden	(4)	4	4,990	45,400	6	98	1	1	1	1
Taiwan	1	24	230	2,310	150	1,050	70	951	2,690	5,780
Turkey	1	1	(4)	5	13	108	6,730	57,700	1	1
United Kingdom	1	18	545	4,410	36	297	1	69	20	48
Other	23	234	1,370	11,900	1,100	13,300	1,680	17,400	482	953
Total	4,120	34,900	57,600	521,000	114,000	873,000	10,200	91,200	48,000	107,000

<sup>--</sup> Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

<sup>2</sup>Total imports of wire rod in 2009 were 113,000 metric tons (t) valued at \$545 million, and in 2010, wire rod imports were 105,000 t valued at \$789 million.

<sup>3</sup>Cost, insurance, freight value at U.S. port.

<sup>4</sup>Less than ½ unit.

TABLE 18
U.S. EXPORTS OF COPPER SCRAP, BY COUNTRY $^{\rm 1}$

		Unalloyed c	opper scrap			Copper-a	lloy scrap	
	200	)9	201	0	200	9	201	0
	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value	Quantity	Value
Country	(metric tons)	(thousands)						
Belgium	2,160	\$7,750	11,000	\$52,600	14,900	\$31,800	19,900	\$66,600
Canada	6,320	34,800	15,500	109,000	32,300	91,500	29,900	110,000
China	190,000	617,000	247,000	1,060,000	416,000	693,000	512,000	1,300,000
Germany	1,510	6,510	4,190	25,500	6,600	31,900	9,570	54,500
Hong Kong	15,600	63,100	10,300	53,500	37,400	85,600	44,500	158,000
India	356	1,480	965	5,960	11,100	23,200	17,900	40,700
Japan	3,220	15,900	7,180	48,600	10,200	46,200	9,900	58,500
Korea, Republic of	8,400	36,500	13,300	88,200	21,500	48,800	17,600	63,400
Mexico	1,580	8,770	4,090	25,400	9,990	41,300	7,090	49,900
Taiwan	6,980	23,300	2,940	17,000	6,510	5,680	2,790	8,500
Other	8,420	40,900	16,700	101,000	31,200	52,300	28,800	57,100
Total	245,000	856,000	334,000	1,590,000	598,000	1,150,000	699,000	1,960,000

<sup>1</sup>Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

TABLE 19
U.S. IMPORTS FOR CONSUMPTION OF COPPER SCRAP, BY COUNTRY <sup>1</sup>

	Unalloyed c	opper scrap		Copper-alloy scrap	
	Quantity	Value <sup>2</sup>	Gross weight	Copper content <sup>3</sup>	Value <sup>2</sup>
Country or territory	(metric tons)	(thousands)	(metric tons)	(metric tons)	(thousands)
2009	16,300	\$52,000	55,500	40,000	\$185,000
2010:					
Canada	9,010	53,500	32,500	23,400	156,000
Chile			80	58	318
Costa Rica	867	3,520	2,100	1,510	9,440
Dominican Republic	628	1,180	856	616	1,230
Guatemala	651	1,290	1,070	770	3,710
Honduras	20	143	701	504	3,520
Jamaica	237	535	361	260	620
Mexico	5,850	18,100	29,900	21,500	107,000
Nicaragua	1,270	2,640	1,330	958	2,010
Peru			438	315	3,200
Other	2,850	9,430	5,080	3,660	25,200
Total	21,400	90,400	74,500	53,600	313,000

-- Zero.

<sup>1</sup>Data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

<sup>2</sup>Cost, insurance, freight value at U.S. port.

<sup>3</sup>Content is estimated by the U.S. Geological Survey to be 72% of gross weight.

### TABLE 20 COPPER: WORLD MINE PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY<sup>1, 2</sup>

#### (Metric tons)

Country	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Argentina	180,144	180,200	156,893	143,084	140,318
Armenia	18,000 e	17,600 <sup>e</sup>	18,800	22,600	25,100
Australia: <sup>e</sup>					
Concentrates	806,400 <sup>3</sup>	828,000	833,000	831,000	856,000
Leaching, electrowon	52,400 <sup>3</sup>	42,000	53,000	23,000	14,400
Total	858,800 <sup>3</sup>	870,000	886,000	854,000	870,000
Bolivia	218	606	731	882 <sup>r</sup>	2,063
Botswana <sup>e</sup>	24,000	24,000	29,000	27,700 <sup>r</sup>	31,000
Brazil:					
Concentrates	147,836	204,828	214,495	205,192	206,800
Leaching, electrowon		900	3,800	6,500	7,400
Total	147,836	205,728	218,295 r	211,692 <sup>r</sup>	214,200
Bulgaria	110,000	110,000 <sup>r</sup>	105,000 <sup>r</sup>	105,000 <sup>r</sup>	105,000
Burma, leaching, electrowon	19,500	13,900 <sup>r</sup>	r	3,500 <sup>r</sup>	12,000
Canada:					
Concentrates	603,295	594,749	605,399	493,700 r	522,000
Leaching, electrowon		1,500	1,600	800	3,000
Total	603,295	596,249	606,999	494,500 r	525,000
Chile:4					
Concentrates	3,669,000	3,724,900	3,356,600	3,276,900	3,330,400
Leaching, electrowon	1,691,800	1,832,100	1,971,000	2,117,500 r	2,088,500
Total	5,360,800	5,557,000	5,327,600	5,394,400 <sup>r</sup>	5,418,900
China: <sup>e</sup>					
Concentrates	873,000	928,000	1,070,000 <sup>r</sup>	1,040,000 r	1,160,000
Leaching, electrowon	16,000	18,000	20,000	25,000	35,000
Total	889,000	946,000	1,090,000 r	1,070,000 <sup>r</sup>	1,200,000
Colombia	725	1,050	1,310	1,420	1,000
Congo (Kinshasa): <sup>5</sup>					
Concentrates <sup>e</sup>	91,000 <sup>r</sup>	116,000 <sup>r</sup>	189,000 <sup>r</sup>	155,000 <sup>r</sup>	118,000
Leaching, electrowon	53,285	32,099 <sup>r</sup>	44,742 <sup>r</sup>	154,751 <sup>r</sup>	262,000 e
Total	144,285 r	148,099 <sup>r</sup>	233,742 <sup>r</sup>	309,751 <sup>r</sup>	380,000 e
Cyprus, leaching, electrowon <sup>e</sup>		300	300	300	300
Dominican Republic			2,600	11,500	9,200
Finland	13,316	13,646	13,440	14,600 <sup>r</sup>	14,700
Georgia <sup>e</sup>	9,000	11,000	11,000	11,000	6,100
India	27,400	33,900	30,600	29,500 <sup>r</sup>	33,000
Indonesia <sup>5</sup>	818,000	796,900	632,600	998,530 <sup>r</sup>	872,300
Iran:	· ·				
Concentrates	208,000	241,000	241,000	255,000	250,000
Leaching, electrowon	9,000	8,000	8,000	7,000	7,000
Total	217,000	249,000	249,000	262,000	257,000
Kazakhstan	434,100 r	406,800 r	421,700 r	406,100 r	380,000
Korea, North <sup>e</sup>	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000	12,000
Korea, Republic of	3 <sup>r</sup>	6 <sup>r</sup>	4 <sup>r</sup>	14 <sup>r</sup>	9
Laos:					
Concentrates			24,929	54,019	67,806
Leaching, electrowon	60,803	62,541	64,075	67,561	64,241
Total	60,803	62,541	89,004	121,580	132,047
See footnotes at end of table.					

### TABLE 20—Continued COPPER: WORLD MINE PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY<sup>1, 2</sup>

#### (Metric tons)

Country	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Macedonia	7,000 r	7,400 <sup>r</sup>	8,400 r	7,600 <sup>r</sup>	7,900
Mauritania	5,031	31,956	33,073	35,000	37,000
Mexico:					
Concentrates	280,840 r	276,530 <sup>r</sup>	214,644 <sup>r</sup>	170,597	190,136
Leaching, electrowon	46,696 <sup>r</sup>	58,972 <sup>r</sup>	53,975 <sup>r</sup>	57,151	80,000
Total	327,536	335,502 <sup>r</sup>	268,619 <sup>r</sup>	227,748	270,136
Mongolia	129,693	130,160	126,800	129,800	126,100
Morocco	4,600	5,572	5,600	11,800 <sup>r</sup>	11,200
Namibia	6,262	6,580	7,471		
Pakistan	19,100	18,800	18,700	18,500	18,000
Papua New Guinea	194,355	169,184	159,650	166,700	159,800
Peru:					
Concentrates	874,601	1,018,156	1,107,789	1,113,449 <sup>r</sup>	1,094,184
Leaching, electrowon	173,871	172,118	160,078	162,800 r	153,000
Total	1,048,472	1,190,274	1,267,867	1,276,249 <sup>r</sup>	1,247,184
Philippines	17,161	22,862	21,235	49,060	58,412
Poland	497,000	452,000	429,000	439,000 r	425,400
Portugal	78,660	90,247	89,070	86,900	74,300
Romania <sup>e, 6</sup>	12,132 3	2,213 3	2,000 r	1,000 <sup>r</sup>	1,000
Russia <sup>e</sup>	725,000	740,000	750,000	676,000 <sup>r</sup>	703,000
Saudi Arabia	730	750 <sup>e</sup>	1,465	2,000	2,000 <sup>e</sup>
Serbia	12,000	16,500	18,800	19,000	19,000 e
South Africa	89,500	97,000	108,700 <sup>r</sup>	107,600 <sup>r</sup>	102,600
Spain:					
Concentrates	6,616	6,281	7,071	15,400	15,000
Leaching, electrowon				5,600	5,600 <sup>e</sup>
Total	6,616	6,281	7,071	21,000	20,600
Sweden	86,800 r	62,800 r	57,220 <sup>r</sup>	54,602 r	75,977
Tanzania, in concentrates and bullion	3,284	3,275	2,852 <sup>r</sup>	2,319 <sup>r</sup>	5,326
Turkey <sup>e, 6</sup>	70,000 <sup>r</sup>	81,000	100,000 <sup>r</sup>	105,000 <sup>r</sup>	97,000
United States: <sup>5</sup>					
Concentrates	667,000	665,000	801,000	705,000	679,000
Leaching, electrowon	530,000	504,000	507,000	476,000	430,000
Total	1,200,000	1,170,000	1,310,000	1,180,000	1,110,000
Uzbekistan	100,000	95,000	95,000	95,000	90,000
Zambia:					
Concentrates <sup>e</sup>	350,000	341,000	392,000 <sup>r</sup>	557,000 <sup>r</sup>	540,000
Leaching, electrowon <sup>e</sup>	124,000	168,000	163,000	140,000 <sup>r</sup>	150,000
Total	474,000	509,000	555,000 r	697,000 <sup>r</sup>	690,000
Zimbabwe, concentrates	2,581	2,681 <sup>r</sup>	2,827 <sup>r</sup>	3,572 <sup>r</sup>	4,000 e
Grand total	15,100,000	15,500,000	15,600,000 r	15,900,000	16,000,000
Of which:					
Concentrates	12,300,000	12,600,000	12,500,000 r	12,700,000 r	12,700,000
Leaching, electrowon	2,780,000 r	2,910,000 r	3,050,000 <sup>r</sup>	3,250,000	3,310,000

### TABLE 20—Continued COPPER: WORLD MINE PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY<sup>1, 2</sup>

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. <sup>r</sup>Revised. -- Zero.

<sup>1</sup>World totals, U.S. data, and estimated data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown. <sup>2</sup>Table represents copper content by analysis of concentrates produced (includes cement copper, if applicable), except where otherwise noted. Table includes data available through July 28, 2011.

<sup>3</sup>Reported figure.

<sup>4</sup>Reported by Comision Chilena del Cobre. Includes recoverable copper content of nonduplicative mine and metal products produced from domestic ores and concentrates and leach production for electrowinning.

<sup>5</sup>Recoverable content.

<sup>6</sup>Excludes copper content of pyrite.

### TABLE 21 COPPER: WORLD SMELTER PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY<sup>1, 2</sup>

#### (Metric tons, gross weight)

Country	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Armenia, primary	8,791	6,954	6,480	6,858	7,500
Australia, primary	377,000	399,000	449,000	422,000	410,000
Austria, secondary	65,900	80,200	94,200	90,800	92,200 <sup>p</sup>
Belgium, secondary	114,600	115,000 <sup>e</sup>	124,500	114,400	118,600
Botswana, primary <sup>3</sup>	24,255	19,996	23,146	24,382	24,000 <sup>e</sup>
Brazil:					
Primary	179,700	178,367	184,400 <sup>r</sup>	176,000	176,400
Secondary <sup>e</sup>	40,000	40,000	39,100 <sup>r</sup>	31,000	47,700
Total	219,700	218,367	223,500 r	207,000	224,100
Bulgaria:					
Primary	217,000	217,600	257,100	256,200	265,000
Secondary <sup>e</sup>	24,000	11,500	21,200	20,000	19,600
Total	241,000	229,100	278,300	276,200	284,600
Canada:					
Primary	484,675	470,713	443,798	316,510	318,000
Secondary	35,826	46,101	41,777	29,733	31,800
Total	520,501	516,814	485,575	346,243	349,800
Chile, primary	1,565,400	1,514,300	1,369,200	1,522,300	1,559,800
China: <sup>e</sup>					
Primary	1,920,000	2,110,000	2,500,000	2,700,000 r	2,800,000
Secondary	700,000	800,000	870,000	1,100,000	1,300,000
Total	2,620,000	2,910,000	3,370,000	3,800,000 r	4,100,000
Congo (Kinshasa), primary, electrowon	10,000 <sup>e</sup>	1,800			
Finland:					
Primary	162,300	141,000	172,354	137,710	149,000 <sup>e</sup>
Secondary <sup>e</sup>	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
Total	164,300	143,000	174,354	139,710	151,000 <sup>e</sup>
Germany:					
Primary	273,800	270,200	295,000	286,300 r	378,700
Secondary	266,300	273,400	293,300	247,500 r	212,400
Total	540,100	543,600	588,300	533,800 <sup>r</sup>	591,100
India:		/	,		,
Primary	610,000	700,000	651,000	705,100	654,000
Secondary <sup>e</sup>	15,000	15,000	11,000	10,000	2,000
Total	625,000	715,000	662,000	715,100	656,000
Indonesia, primary	201,200	277,100	253,300	295,900	276,800
Iran: <sup>e</sup>					
Primary	177,000	180,000	180,000	193,000	190,000
Secondary	65,000	70,000	68,000	67,000	90,000
Total	242,000	250,000	248,000	260,000	280,000
Japan:					
Primary	1,409,087	1,367,310	1,366,310	1,297,943	1,382,700
Secondary	219,203	245,208	259,060	243,859	260,200
Total	1,628,290	1,612,518	1,625,370	1,541,802	1,642,900
Kazakhstan, undifferentiated	426,200 r	392,834	392,575	369,000	370,900
Korea, North, undifferentiated <sup>e</sup>	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
See footnotes at end of table.					

### TABLE 21—Continued COPPER: WORLD SMELTER PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY<sup>1, 2</sup>

#### (Metric tons, gross weight)

Country	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Korea, Republic of:					
Primary <sup>e</sup>	450,000	470,000	502,000 r	455,000	476,000
Secondary <sup>e</sup>	35,000 <sup>r</sup>	45,000	42,000 r	44,000	65,000
Total	485,000 <sup>r</sup>	515,000	544,000 <sup>r</sup>	499,000	541,000
Mexico:					
Primary	260,200	222,600	200,200	153,700	153,000 <sup>e</sup>
Secondary <sup>e</sup>	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
Total	265,200	227,600	205,200	158,700	158,000 e
Namibia, primary <sup>e, 4</sup>	22,000	21,000	16,271 5	21,500 r, 5	20,000
Oman, primary	20,710	13,940	11,906	12,000 <sup>e</sup>	14,000
Pakistan, primary <sup>e</sup>	23,600	18,200	17,800	17,500	17,000
Peru, primary	381,300	296,100	360,400	341,500 <sup>r</sup>	312,968
Philippines, primary	239,600	220,000	239,700 <sup>r</sup>	230,100	216,200
Poland:		,	,	,	,
Primary	445,100 r	438,100	438,600	427,800 r	452,200
Secondary	80,000	40,000	43,900	68,800	94,300
Total	525,100 r	478,100	482,500	496,600 r	546,500
Russia: <sup>e</sup>		-	-		
Primary	635,000	650,000	630,000	580,000	590,000
Secondary	312,000	290,000	235,000	220,000	240,000
Total	947,000	940,000	865,000	800,000	830,000
Serbia: <sup>e</sup>					
Primary	40,000	30,200	31,900	32,000	35,000
Secondary	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
Total	41,000	31,200	32,900	33,000	36,000
Slovakia, secondary	22,000 e	20,600 e	27,500	34,200	46,500
South Africa, primary	98,900 <sup>r</sup>	111,900 <sup>r</sup>	94,800 r	86,900 <sup>r</sup>	75,900
Spain:					
Primary	263,100	249,400	256,900	261,000	236,000
Secondary <sup>e</sup>	6,482 5	6,700	3,000	4,000	19,000
Total	269,582	256,100	259,900	265,000	255,000
Sweden:					
Primary	138,800	132,500	128,500	126,000	137,000
Secondary <sup>e</sup>	55,000	46,500	53,800	39,600	42,000
Total	193,800	179,000	182,300	165,600	179,000
Thailand:					
Primary	25,300 r	11,900 r			
Secondary	1,750 <sup>r</sup>	814 <sup>r</sup>			
Total	27,050 <sup>r</sup>	12,714 <sup>r</sup>			
Turkey, undifferentiated <sup>e, 6</sup>	30,000	30,000	35,000	30,000	30,000
United States, undifferentiated	501,000	617,000	574,000	597,000	601,000
Uzbekistan, undifferentiated <sup>e</sup>	95,000	92,000	92,000	92,000	92,000
Vietnam, primary <sup>e</sup>	4,800 <sup>r, 5</sup>	11,000 <sup>r, 5</sup>	2,200	6,000	8,000
Zambia, primary	290,000	224,000	232,000 e	334,000	490,000

### TABLE 21—Continued COPPER: WORLD SMELTER PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY<sup>1, 2</sup>

#### (Metric tons, gross weight)

Country	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Grand total:	14,100,000	14,300,000	14,700,000	14,900,000 r	15,600,000
Of which					
Primary:					
Electrowon	10,000 <sup>e</sup>	1,800			-
Other	10,900,000 r	11,000,000 <sup>r</sup>	11,300,000 r	11,400,000 <sup>r</sup>	11,800,000
Secondary	2,070,000 r	2,150,000 r	2,240,000 r	2,370,000 r	2,690,000
Undifferentiated	1,070,000 r	1,150,000 <sup>r</sup>	1,110,000 <sup>r</sup>	1,100,000 <sup>r</sup>	1,110,000

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. <sup>p</sup>Preliminary. <sup>r</sup>Revised. -- Zero.

<sup>1</sup>World totals, U.S. data, and estimated data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

<sup>2</sup>This table includes total production of smelted copper metal, including low-grade cathode produced by electrowinning methods. The smelter feed may be derived from ore, concentrates, copper precipitate or matte (primary), and (or) scrap (secondary).

To the extent possible, primary and secondary output of each country is shown separately. In some cases, total smelter production is officially reported, but the distribution between primary and secondary has been estimated. Table includes data available through July 28, 2011.

<sup>3</sup>Copper content of nickel-copper matte exported to Norway for refining.

<sup>4</sup>Includes 8,000 to 10,000 metric tons per year for 2006 produced from imported toll concentrates.

<sup>5</sup>Reported figure.

<sup>6</sup>Secondary production is estimated to be about one-third of total.

#### TABLE 22

#### COPPER: WORLD REFINERY PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY<sup>1, 2</sup>

#### (Metric tons)

Country	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Argentina, secondary <sup>e</sup>	16,000	16,000	16,000	16,000	16,000
Australia, primary:					
Electrowon	52,400	42,000	53,000	23,000	14,000
Other	377,000	400,000	450,000	423,000	403,000
Total	429,400	442,000	503,000	446,000	417,000
Austria, secondary	72,600	81,400	106,700	96,200	113,700 <sup>p</sup>
Belgium:					
Primary <sup>3</sup>	238,000 <sup>e</sup>	228,500	233,100	220,600	216,000
Secondary	153,000	165,600	162,700	153,100	165,000
Total	391,000 e	394,100	395,800	373,700	381,000
Brazil:					
Primary:					
Electrowon	<sup>r</sup>	900 <sup>r</sup>	3,800 <sup>r</sup>	6,500 <sup>r</sup>	7,400
Other	192,700 <sup>r</sup>	194,380 <sup>r</sup>	204,375 <sup>r</sup>	193,899 <sup>r</sup>	177,800
Total	192,700 <sup>r</sup>	195,280 <sup>r</sup>	208,175 <sup>r</sup>	200,399 <sup>r</sup>	185,200
Secondary	27,000	24,000	25,633	31,000	47,700
Total, primary and secondary	219,700 r	219,280 r	233,808 r	231,399 <sup>r</sup>	232,900
Bulgaria:					
Primary	60,700 <sup>r</sup>	64,400 <sup>r</sup>	114,200 <sup>r</sup>	183,600	195,400
Secondary <sup>e</sup>	4,800 r	5,700 <sup>r</sup>	12,600 <sup>r</sup>	13,200	19,600
Total	65,500 <sup>r</sup>	70,100 <sup>r</sup>	126,800 <sup>r</sup>	196,800	215,000
Burma, electrowon	19,500	15,100		5,000 <sup>r</sup>	12,000 e
Canada:				2,000	,
Primary	465,000	407,000	400,000	305,296	280,000
Secondary	36,000	46,000	42,000	30,600	32,000
Total	501,000	453,000	442,000	335,896	312,000
Chile, primary:					
Electrowon	1,691,800	1,832,100	1,971,000	2,117,500 r	2,088,500
Other	1,119,500	1,104,400	1,086,600	1,159,100	1,155,400
Total	2,811,300	2,936,500	3,057,600	3,276,600 <sup>r</sup>	3,243,900
China: <sup>e</sup>					
Primary:					
Electrowon	20,000	20,000	20,000	17,000 <sup>r</sup>	35,000
Other	2,000,000	2,280,000	2,680,000	2,750,000	2,950,000
Total	2,020,000	2,300,000	2,700,000	2,770,000 r	2,990,000
Secondary	1,000,000	1,200,000	1,200,000	1,400,000	1,700,000
Total, primary and secondary	3,020,000	3,500,000	3,900,000	4,170,000 r	4,690,000
Congo (Kinshasa), electrowon		6,897 <sup>r</sup>	38,632 <sup>r</sup>	154,671 <sup>r</sup>	235,204
Cyprus, electrowon	1,000	2,900	2,986	2,380	2,400 e
	1,719 <sup>r</sup>	2,900 2,664 <sup>r</sup>	2,980 2,842 <sup>r</sup>	2,380 3,000 <sup>r</sup>	2,400 3,000 °
Egypt, secondary	1,/19	2,004	2,042	3,000	5,000 -
Finland:	100 674	100 097	127.052	05 540	112.000 8
Primary	120,674	100,987	127,952	95,549	112,000 °
Secondary <sup>e</sup>	16,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
Total	136,674	110,987	137,952	105,549	122,000 e
Germany:					
Primary	312,092	301,702	300,470	290,200	346,300 <sup>p</sup>
Secondary	350,246	363,815	389,300	378,745 <sup>r</sup>	347,300 F
Total	662,338	665,517	689,770	668,945 r	693,600 <sup>p</sup>

### TABLE 22—Continued COPPER: WORLD REFINERY PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY<sup>1, 2</sup>

#### (Metric tons)

Country	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Hungary, secondary <sup>e</sup>	10,000	10,000			
India:					
Primary, electrolytic	614,000	698,600	654,200	705,100	654,900
Secondary <sup>e</sup>	15,000	15,000	15,000	10,000	2,000
Total	629,000	713,600	669,200	715,100	656,900
Indonesia, primary	217,600	277,000	254,000	289,200	278,200
Iran:					
Primary: <sup>e</sup>					
Electrowon	9,000	8,000	7,000	7,000	7,000
Other	140,000	141,000 <sup>r</sup>	140,000	150,000	143,000
Total	149,000	149,000 r	147,000	157,000	150,000
Secondary	51,000 r	52,000 r	53,000	53,000	70,000
Total, primary and secondary	200,000 r	201,000 r	200,000	210,000	220,000
Italy, secondary <sup>e</sup>	36,400 4	28,600 4	24,200	6,500	6,500
Japan:					
Primary	1,342,350	1,369,814	1,328,157	1,238,012	1,333,800
Secondary	189,705	207,004	211,681	201,831	214,900
Total	1,532,055	1,576,818	1,539,838	1,439,843	1,548,700
Kazakhstan, primary	427,723	406,091	398,411 <sup>r</sup>	368,113 <sup>r</sup>	323,428
Korea, North, primary <sup>e</sup>	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000	15,000
Korea, Republic of:					
Primary	541,500 <sup>r</sup>	536,467 <sup>r</sup>	492,925 <sup>r</sup>	441,401 <sup>r</sup>	467,600
Secondary	34,000	45,000	45,000 r	90,300 <sup>r</sup>	97,000
Total	575,500 <sup>r</sup>	581,467 <sup>r</sup>	537,925 <sup>r</sup>	531,701 <sup>r</sup>	564,600
Laos, electrowon	60,803	62,541	64,075 <sup>r</sup>	67,561 <sup>r</sup>	64,241
Mexico:					
Primary:					
Electrowon	89,076	107,000	74,500	65,700 <sup>e</sup>	80,000
Other	284,300	238,900	215,500	190,000 e	192,600
Total	373,376	345,900	290,000	255,700	272,600
Secondary <sup>e</sup>	6,000	6,000	6,000	5,000	5,000
Total, primary and secondary	379,376	351,900	296,000	260,700	277,600
Mongolia, electrowon	2,618	3,007	2,587	2,470	2,750
Norway, primary <sup>5</sup>	38,500 <sup>e</sup>	43,000	32,000	30,000	30,000
Oman, primary	21,000	14,000	12,000	12,000	14,000
Peru, primary:					
Electrowon	173,871	172,118	160,078	157,082 <sup>r</sup>	153,022
Other	333,839	241,789	303,855	260,618 <sup>r</sup>	240,616
Total	507,710	413,907	463,933	417,700 <sup>r</sup>	393,638
Philippines, primary	181,000	160,200	174,600 r	178,000 r	171,900
Poland:		2	,		, •
Primary	476,100	493,200	483,000	433,600 <sup>r</sup>	452,700
•		39,800	43,800 <sup>r</sup>	68,800 <sup>r</sup>	94,300
Secondary	80,500	39.000	43,000	00,000	94,500

### TABLE 22—Continued COPPER: WORLD REFINERY PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY<sup>1, 2</sup>

#### (Metric tons)

Country	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Romania:					
Primary	18,583	15,584	10,323	3,000 r	3,000 e
Secondary <sup>e</sup>	3,000	3,000	3,000	1,000 <sup>r</sup>	1,000
Total	21,583	18,584	13,323	4,000 r	4,000 e
Russia:					
Primary	635,000	650,000	610,000	612,000 <sup>r</sup>	656,000
Secondary	312,000	289,000	250,000	250,000 r	218,000
Total	947,000	939,000	860,000	862,000 r	874,000
Serbia:		,		,	. ,
Primary	40,000	30,600	32,800	33,000	34,000
Secondary <sup>e</sup>	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
Total	41,000	31,600	33,800	34,000	35,000
South Africa, primary <sup>5</sup>	104,052	113,166	92,972	89,451	82,202
Spain:		- ,	- )	, -	- , -
Primary:					
Electrowon				5,600 <sup>r</sup>	28,500
Other	235,300	243,000	257,000	250,200 r	236,000
Total	235,300	243,000	257,000	255,800 r	264,500
Secondary <sup>e</sup>	64,000	65,000	62,000	73,000 <sup>r</sup>	82,900
Total, primary and secondary	299,300	308,000	319,000	328.800 r	347,400
Sweden:	299,300	308,000	519,000	328,800	547,400
Primary	187,800	175,500	184,674	164,759	150,497
Secondary	41,200	38,500	43,100	41,000	40,000 <sup>e</sup>
Total	229,000	214,000	227,774	205,759	190,497
Taiwan, secondary <sup>e</sup>	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500
Thailand:	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500	4,500
Primary	25,300	11,900			
Secondary	1,750	814	438	490 r	500
•				490 490 <sup>r</sup>	
Total	27,050	12,714	438	490	500
Turkey: <sup>e</sup>	101.000	04.600	02.000	20.000	50.000
Primary	101,000	94,600	83,000	30,000	50,000
Secondary Total	5,000	5,000 99,600	5,000 88,000	4,000 34,000	5,000
Ukraine, secondary <sup>e</sup> United States:	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000
Primary: Electrowon	530,000	504,000	507,000	476,000	430,000
Other	675,000	764,000 764,000	713,000	636,000	430,000 627,000
Total	1,210,000	1,270,000	1,220,000	1,110,000	1,060,000
Secondary	44,800	46,000	53,800	46,400	37,700
Total, primary and secondary	1,250,000	1,310,000	1,270,000	1,160,000	1,090,000
Uzbekistan, primary	92,300	89,655	71,000	80,000	90,000
Vietnam, primary				6,000 °	6,000 °
Zambia, primary: <sup>e</sup>				3,000	0,000
Electrowon <sup>6</sup>	178,000	200,000	175,000	145,400 4	160,000
	240,000	230,000	240,000	$269,000^{-4}$	370,000
Other			-		
Total See footnotes at end of table	418,000	430,000	415,000	414,400 4	530,000

### TABLE 22—Continued COPPER: WORLD REFINERY PRODUCTION, BY COUNTRY<sup>1,2</sup>

#### (Metric tons)

Country	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Zimbabwe, primary <sup>e</sup>	7,000	6,798 4	3,072 4	3,000	5,000
Grand total	17,300,000	17,900,000	18,300,000	18,400,000	19,100,000
Of which:					
Primary:					
Electrowon	2,830,000	2,980,000	3,080,000	3,250,000	3,320,000
Other	11,900,000	12,100,000 r	12,400,000	12,100,000 r	12,500,000
Total	14,700,000	15,100,000	15,500,000	15,400,000	15,800,000
Secondary	2,600,000 r	2,790,000 r	2,810,000 r	3,010,000 <sup>r</sup>	3,350,000

<sup>e</sup>Estimated. <sup>p</sup>Preliminary. <sup>r</sup>Revised. -- Zero.

<sup>1</sup>World totals, U.S. data, and estimated data are rounded to no more than three significant digits; may not add to totals shown.

<sup>2</sup>This table includes total production of refined copper whether produced by pyrometallurgical or electrolytic refining methods and whether derived from primary unrefined copper or from scrap. Copper cathode derived from electrowinning processing is also included. Table includes data available through July 28, 2011.

<sup>3</sup>Includes reprocessed leach cathode from Congo (Kinshasa).

<sup>4</sup>Reported figure.

<sup>5</sup>May include secondary.

<sup>6</sup>Electrowon covers only high-grade electrowon cathodes reported as "finished production leach cathodes."